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## 1. Introduction

AECOM were commissioned by Kildare County Council (KCC) to develop a microsimulation, Kildare Town Model (KTM) in VISSIM. This report summarises the development, calibration and validation of the base year (2018) model.

### 1.1 Background

The model was created with a base year of 2018. The model was developed with peak periods in line with a previously developed strategic (VISUM) model, 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00 for the AM and PM hours respectively.

### 1.2 Study Area

The extent of the study area is provided in Figure 1.1. The modelled area covers all the main junctions in Kildare Town and main roads running through the town. The study area extent includes the following key roads:

- R455 between the Curragh to the east and the railway line to the west;
- R401 Dunmurray Road and R415 Station Road;
- The R413 Melitta Road to northeast; and Green Road and Southgreen Road to northwest; and
- The R415 between the R445 and the M7, Tully Road / Bride St and Grey Abbey Road to the south.


Figure 1.1: Kildare Town Model study area extents

### 1.3 Report Structure

The remainder of this report is structured as follows:

- Chapter 2 - Data Collection
- Chapter 3 - Network Development
- Chapter 4 - Matrix Development
- Chapter 5 - Model Convergence - Calibration - Validation
- Chapter 6 - Summary/Conclusion


## 2. Data Collection

### 2.1 Overview

This section of the report summarises the traffic survey data collected to develop the KTM. Data was collected on $18^{\text {th }}$ October 2018 to create both the strategic model and microsimulation models for Kildare. Survey data was supplemented with additional data to improve the overall modelled representation of the town.

### 2.2 Survey Data

Data was collected by undertaking the following traffic surveys in October 2018:

- Junction Turning Counts (JTC);
- Automatic Traffic Counts (ATC); and
- Origin-Destination (O-D) matrices and Journey Times derived from an Automatic Number Plate Recognition (ANPR) survey.

Traffic surveys for this study were undertaken on $18^{\text {th }}$ October 2018 based on the Traffic Survey Specification (TSS) titled "Kildare Town Traffic Survey Spec", provided in Appendix A. The list of sites and locations used in the modelling can be found in Appendix A.

Supplementary data captured in early 2020 included:

- Journey Time data using AECOM's Google data collection methodology¹;
- Bus information; and
- Signal Specifications.

The supplementary data was assessed as being suitable to include in preparation of the model, despite differing time periods. A validation of 2020 journey time data was undertaken ensure its compatibility with 2018 data prior to inclusion in the model. Core traffic data for the KTM remains that collected in 2018. The overall quality of the model (and its representation of traffic flows) were improved through use of the supplementary data.

### 2.2.1 Junction Turning Counts (JTC)

Classified Junction Turning Counts (JTC) give an indication of the turning movements observed at key junctions in the network. Data was recorded at 23 JTC as per the TSS. Of those, 14 sites are in the VISSIM model study area and are shown in Figure 2.1. The data was collected on Thursday $18^{\text {th }}$ of October 2018 over a 12-hour period from 07:00-19:00 in 15-minute intervals.

### 2.2.2 Automatic Traffic Counts (ATC)

Automatic Traffic Count (ATC) data provides link count (screenline) data over a longer time period, smoothing any day-to day variations improving upon the quality of data from a single day count. Data was collected at 16 ATC Sites as per TSS. Of those, 10 ATC sites are located in the study area as shown in Figure 2.1. Data collection was carried out over a 1-week period in October 2018.

[^0]

Figure 2.1: JTC and ATC survey locations

### 2.2.3 Origin - Destination (O-D) Surveys

O-D surveys were carried out on Thursday $18^{\text {th }}$ October 2018 at 16 sites, between 07:00-19:00. Of these, 14 ANPR sites are in or near the VISSIM model study area boundaries. Figure 2.2 shows the location of the ANPR sites. As some sites are outside the study area boundaries, these cannot be directly used for calculating the journey time between two sites.


Figure 2.2: O-D Sites

The survey only captures movements that have passed through the cordon and does not include trips that have an origin or destination within the cordon. Appendix $C$ provides the full matrix of $O-D$ movements captured by the ANPR during the AM and PM peaks².

Journey times were additionally retrieved from ANPR data, which was analysed and checked for consistency using Tukey's test. This statistical 'filter' has only been applied to screen journey times in order to dismiss measurements which are statistical outliers. The outcome of the check indicated that additional journey time data may improve the accuracy of the model, hence supplementary journey time data was collected from other sources to represent the base conditions more accurately, this is outlined in the following section.

### 2.2.4 Journey Time Surveys (JTS)

Journey time data has been retrieved from Google using a bespoke tool. Data was collected along main routes within the study area. As the data collected was in the year 2020, a consistency check was carried out for the collected data with the journey times from the VISUM strategic model that was calibrated and validated for base conditions in 2018.

Based on statistical tests the most appropriate journey time data was selected from ANPR, Google or the existing validated Kildare strategic model. 56 journey time routes were identified for the KTM.

### 2.3 Bus Information

Public transport stops and routes were identified in order to include information regarding public transport lines in the model. Information regarding the bus routes, stops and frequency was retrieved from the Transport for Ireland (TFI) website.

The study area is serviced by the following bus routes during the peak periods: $126,126 \mathrm{a}, 126 \mathrm{~b}, 126 \mathrm{e}$, $726,826,883$, and 14. A map of the bus stop locations within the model can be seen in Figure 2.3 below.


Figure 2.3: Bus Stop Locations

[^1]
### 2.4 Signal Specifications

Traffic signal data was obtained from KCC and consisted of signal specifications (stages and phases) along with intergreens and minimum and maximum green times at the majority of signals. Eight signalised junctions and three pedestrian crossing signals have been identified in the study area; their locations can be seen in Figure 2.4. Traffic signals are vehicle actuated or MOVA controlled as shown in Table 2.1, these have been modelled using VisVAP (VISSIM Vehicle Actuated Programming).


Figure 2.4 - Signalised Junction Locations

Table 2.1 Signals Method of Control

| No. | Junction | Observed Method of Control |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | T102 R445-R415 Main Square | VA |
| 2 | T103 R415-R413 Mondello Cross | VA |
| 3 | T104 R445-R415 M7 Slip-Monasterevin Rd | MOVA |
| 4 | T170 R445 Pigeon Lane | MOVA |
| 5 | T171 R445 Tesco junction | MOVA |
| 6 | T105 R401 Dunmurray Rd Shuttle | VA |
| 7 | T165 R415 Shuttle at Railway Station | VA |
| 8 | T174 Green Road Shuttle | VA |
| 9 | P676 R415 Grey Abbey Rd | Push button |
| 10 | P661 R413 Melitta Rd | Push button |
| 11 | P688 R413 Melitta Rd | Push button |

### 2.5 Peak Determination

To ensure a good correlation between the strategic model and micro simulation model, it was agreed with KCC to develop microsimulation models covering the same peak periods as the strategic model. The network peak hours are reiterated as follows:

- AM peak: 08:00-09:00
- PM peak: 17:00-18:00

To ensure that the peak in the town centre for microsimulation aligns with the strategic modelled peak, JTC and ATC traffic data for those sites in the town centre were analysed.

Accordingly, it was observed that the peak time starts 15-min later in the town centre (within the KTM scope), than the strategic model peak. Upon analysing the number of vehicles for both the peaks, the difference proved to be minor, and so it was agreed among the modelling team and client to use the same peak as the strategic model peak. This also improves the ability to build, compare and transfer matrices between microsimulation and strategic models for base and forecast scenarios, and for ensuring consistency between across the two model scales.

## 3. Network Development

### 3.1 Overview

This section describes how the model network has been developed and provides an overview of how the data was used and applied. The KTM has been constructed on VISSIM's built-in aerial imagery. This allows for the rapid development of various elements of the model including lane widths, lane markings, road curvature and stop lines.

The model covers all the main junctions in Kildare Town, including those at the junctions of the R445, R401, R415 and R413 - the model coverage can be viewed in Figure 3.1. ${ }^{3}$ A list of junctions included in the model are listed in Table 3.1.


Figure 3.1 VISSIM Model Extents

[^2]Table 3.1 Junction covered in VISSIM modelling

| JTC |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :--- |
| No. | Survey <br> Node Ref. |  |
| 1 | 1 | Pigeon Lane / Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) / Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) |
| 2 | 2 | Southgreen Road / Friary Road / Pigeon Lane / Green Road |
| 3 | 3 | Southgreen Road / Bothairín na gCorp / Green Road |
| 4 | 4 | Old Road (NNW) / Old Road(E) / Bothairín na gCorp |
| 5 | 5 | Dunmurray Road (R401) (NNW) / Dunmurray Road (R401) (SE) / Old Road |
| 6 | 6 | Station Road (R415) (NNE) / Melitta Road / Station Road (R415) (SSW) / Dunmurray Road <br> (R401) |
| 7 | 7 | Bride Street (NE) / Market Square (SSE) / Bride Street (WSW) / Market Square (NW) |
| 8 | 8 | Bride Street (NNE) / R445(ESE) / Bride Street (SSW) / R445 (WNW) |
| 9 | 9 | White Abbey Road / Claregate Street / Academy Street / Monasterevin Road (R445) |
| 10 | 10 | Bride Street (NNE) / Bride Street (SE) / Grey Abbey Road / Cleamore Road |
| 11 | 11 | Tully Road (NW) / Meadow Road / Tully Road (SSE) |
| 12 | 16 | Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) / R415 / Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) |
| 13 | 17 | R445 (E) / R445 (W) |
| 14 | 22 | R445(ESE) / Meadow Road / R445 (WNW) |

### 3.2 Modelling Specifications

The VISSIM model for Kildare town has been prepared with the following parameters, in agreement with the client and acknowledging the benefit of maintaining the same peak periods as the strategic model of the town.

Table 3.2 Modelling Parameters

| Modelling <br> Parameters |  |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Modelled Year | 2018 |  |
| Assessment <br> Periods | AM Warm-up | $0730-0800$ |
|  | AM Peak (Evaluation Period) | $0800-0900$ |
|  | AM cool-down | $0900-0945$ |
|  | PM Warm-up | $1630-1700$ |
|  | PM Peak (Evaluation Period) | $1700-1800$ |
| Vehicle Types | PM Cool-Down Period | $1800-1845$ |
|  | Light Vehicles |  |
|  | Heavy Vehicles |  |
|  | Public Service Vehicles (PSV, comprising of buses only with specific <br> routing, timetables and bus stops for each bus service number) |  |
| VISSIM Version | $11.00-13$ (64-bit) |  |

### 3.3 Signal Control

Signal data was obtained from KCC to inform the model. This information has been used to accurately model the operation of signal controlled junctions within the KTM VISSIM model. Table 3.3 provides an overview of the signal operation in the town with descriptive detail provided below.

Table 3.3 Modelled Signal Control Mode

| No. | Ref. | Junction | Observed <br> of Control |  |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | T102 | R445-R415 Main Square | VA | Modelled Method of <br> Control |
| 2 | T103 | R415-R413 Mondello Cross | VA | Fixed Time |
| 3 | T104 | R445-R415 M7 Slip-Monasterevin Rd | MOVA | Fixed Time |
| 4 | T170 | R445 Pigeon Lane | MOVA | Fixed Time |
| 5 | T171 | R445 Tesco junction | MOVA | Fixed Time |
| 6 | T105 | R401 Dunmurray Rd Shuttle | VA | VA using Detectors |
| 7 | T165 | R415 Shuttle at Railway Station | VA | VA using Detectors |
| 8 | T174 | Green Road Shuttle | Push button | VA using Detectors <br> activation based |
| 9 | P676 | R415 Grey Abbey Rd | Push button | Demand based <br> activation |
| 10 | P661 | R413 Melitta Rd | Push button | Demand based <br> activation |
| 11 | P688 | R413 Melitta Rd |  |  |

Several of the road bridges over or beneath the railway line are single-lane and therefore operate shuttle signals (progressively alternating the approaching traffic streams). The shuttles operate on vehicle actuated (VA) control. Information about the maximum and minimum stage times for the shuttle signals were provided for development of the model. Shuttle signals in the model were coded as detector based with the minimum and maximum phase green time information provided. The signalised junctions of T102 (Kildare Town Main Square) and T103 (R415 \& R413 Mondellos Cross) have been coded as fixed signals, with green times taken as reference from the strategic model.

Traffic signals T170 (R445 Pigeon Lane) and T171 (Tesco junction) are MOVA junctions that operate via same signal controller. The streams defined in the signal specifications have been incorporated in the signal coding for these junctions in the model, hence, the stages with east and west phases on R445 run with offset having green at the same time. T104 (R445-R415 M7 Slip-Monasterevin Rd) is a MOVA junction, which has been coded as a fixed signal in the model with vehicle actuation for vehicles turning right to the R415 from the R445 west. All the three signals - T104, T170 and T171, have been coded to run the east-west phases on the R445 with offset, to reduce delay for vehicles travelling east-west along the R445. Green times for these junctions were calculated based on data collected during the AM and PM peak times, including the number of stage activations in the peak hour and average green time during each stage.

Pedestrian calls at traffic signals were coded as demand based (excluding MOVA junctions that had information available for all the stage activations). Pedestrian calls are coded for every cycle for the peak periods, and for every two-to-three cycles during non-peak timings (warm up and cool down), though some minor changes are permitted to attain suitable calibration and validation.

### 3.4 Speed Decisions in the Model

Desired speed decisions, which determine the speed distribution of vehicles in the model, have been placed along links in the VISSIM model to ensure that vehicles adopt representative speeds. This allows on-site speed to be modelled.

The locations of desired speed decisions are based on observed posted speed limit locations from available online data. Default speed profiles defined in VISSIM were used in the KTM. The modelled speeds in VISSIM were also cross referenced with the strategic model.

Reduced speed areas (RSAs) have been added on approaches to junctions and applicable curved geometries throughout the town to slow vehicles at particular locations. The reduced speed areas used in the model are defined based on type of road, information provided by the client and in some cases the curvature or narrowness of a road. They have also been placed on the stretches of road that experience delay due to traffic accessing local roads, residences and facilities that have not been coded in the model - these account for parking, turning and manoeuvres on some of the less trafficked roads of the historic town.

### 3.5 Driving Behaviour Parameters

VISSIM has several sets of driving behaviours which can be tailored to the specific model to more accurately and reflect site specific conditions (e.g. for motorways, urban areas, cycling etc.) These parameters affect the car following and lane change models of vehicles, lateral behaviour and vehicular reaction to traffic signals. These sets of parameters are associated with link types so that all vehicles travelling along a specific link will display the same driving behaviour.

The driver behaviour profiles have been used on a variety of different links, depending on their location within the VISSIM model. The following driving behaviours have been included in the model:

- Urban (Motorised) (default);
- Footpath (no interaction) - used for pedestrian crossings and areas.


### 3.6 Priority Rules and Conflict Areas

Priority rules in the VISSIM model replicate give way behaviour at unsignalised junctions whereby a vehicle would wait until there is a suitable gap to manoeuvre safely and continue its journey. The priority rules have been placed in the vicinity of all unsignalised and some signalised junctions within the model where yielding behaviour was observed / expected. Conflict areas have been inserted on some of the merges, diverges and at junctions to prevent overlapping of vehicles in the model to improve the simulation of site conditions.

Priority rules also assist, and have been applied, where opposing traffic streams may be required to giveway in junctions (such as right-turns mid-junction). Priority rules and conflict areas remain unchanged between the AM and PM models.

### 3.7 Routing decisions

Routing decisions were checked for all the junctions, and also allowed the identification and removal of redundant or circular routes. These alterations were also applied to assist with the model convergence, reducing the level of fluctuation in the dynamic assignment process. Routes from each origin to destination have been retrieved from and checked against the strategic model. One of the examples of a route closure applied to the model is shown in Figure 3.2, which bans vehicles travelling in the eastwest directions on the R445 from taking a detour through Market Square.


Figure 3.2 Example Vehicle Route closure at R445/ Bride Street Junction

### 3.8 Network Checks

A series of checks were made to ensure that the base models correlated with the physical characteristics observed on site. These checks are described as follows:

- Range checks - the characteristics of all links were checked to ensure that they were within appropriate ranges for the class of link. Characteristics include driver behaviour, speed and number of entry lanes;
- Link lengths - the lengths of all links in the network were checked against the corresponding distances measured from the base mapping and site observations;
- Route checking - the origin to destination routes for each vehicle type were checked for logical routing through the network. Any anomalies were corrected by the route closures;
- Vehicle travel checks - visual checking was carried out to ensure there was no irregular or unexpected vehicle stops occurring across the network (except for the locations with priority rules, conflict area or signal heads). Such stops can be caused due to vehicles being unable to find the path to the next link or connector. This issue might rise due to blocking of vehicles on the link or connector, closing the link or connector for dynamic assignment, closing the edge, or an error in the placement of particular connectors.


## 4. Matrix Development

### 4.1 Overview

The VISSIM models were built using dynamic assignment (matrix based) demand in which vehicles choose their route through the network based on lowest cost paths. Dynamic assignment was chosen because of data availability, the path-finding nature of vehicles and opportunity to quickly amend scenarios and network data during option testing. The nature of the network also lends itself well to dynamic assignment due to the many possible routes being available to vehicles through the network.

The zoning system, on which dynamic assignment is based, provides a series of vehicular entry and exit points on the network. The inter-zonal movements (which are generated by the assignment process), are a set of vehicle paths between the origins and destinations. Model zones are represented in VISSIM by parking lot pairs with separated lots acting as entry and exit points. The zoning system for the Kildare VISSIM network is shown in Figure 4.1 with the traffic entry and exit points at the town's approximate extents.


Figure 4.1 Kildare Town Modelled Zones Location

Table 4.1 VISSIM Model Zones

| Zone Number | Entry/ Exit Point |
| :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R445 Monasterevin Rd |
| 2 | Green Rd |
| 3 | Southgreen Rd |
| 4 | Old Rd |
| 5 | R401 Dunmurray Rd |
| 6 | R415 Rathbride Rd |
| 7 | R413 Melitta Rd |
| 8 | R445 Curragh Rd |
| 9 | Tully Rd |
| 10 | Grey Abbey Rd |
| 11 | Tesco |
| 12 | R415 Nurney |

### 4.2 Matrix Development

For the KTM, a set of O-D matrices were created to distribute traffic around the network. A combination of JTC, ANPR, and ATC survey data was used in coordination with VISUM cordoned matrices to produce the VISSIM matrices.

The matrix construction for the AM and PM VISSIM models was undertaken in five stages as part of a matrix Furness method. The stages are as follows:

### 4.2.1 Stage 1 - Derive ANPR Baseline

The first step was to carry out an analysis of the ANPR data provided by the traffic surveyor and create ANPR O-D matrices of the matched data for each of the modelling periods. The data provided is for all vehicles and not classified by the vehicle class, hence the same matrices were initially considered for both light vehicles (LV) and heavy vehicles (HV). This step sets the broad scale of travel patterns for the model, where the trip totals will be corrected during the Furness process.

### 4.2.2 Stage 2 - Derive cordoned matrices from VISUM strategic models

The Kildare VISUM strategic model was cordoned as per the requirement of the VISSIM Model to extract matrices for the study area, as seen in Figure 4.2. The VISUM cordoned matrices for AM and PM peak are provided in Appendix C. It is noted that the strategic model maintained some internal zones as traffic sources and sinks. A sense check was carried out to assess whether the VISUM cordoned matrices can be directly used to create VISSIM matrices. It was observed that differences were present between zone totals from VISUM and survey data in many cases (likely due to presence of internal zones), and so adjustments were necessary.

Hence, it was decided to use a combination of ANPR (which was unclassified) and VISUM cordoned matrices to create an improved set of VISSIM matrices suitable for microsimulation of Kildare Town and providing the necessary routing across the study area.


Figure 4.2 VISUM Cordoned Network, Zones and Connectors

### 4.2.3 Stage 3 - Derive Origin - Destination Zone Totals

Using JTC, ATC and O-D data a series of matrices were produced for each vehicle class (LVs and HVs) and by modelling periods (build-up, peak and cool-down).

Each zone's origin and destination totals were derived from JTC counts (except Zone 10 which is documented below), as JTC provides more accurate representation of the traffic entering the junctions and network.

Zone 10 origin and destination totals were calculated using a combination of ATC and JTC counts. The location of JTC 10 is at the junction of Grey Abbey Road and Clearmore Road and ATC 11 was located south of the ALDI supermarket, as shown in Figure 4.3. It was observed that some of the trips from Grey Abbey Road (northbound) access Academy Street, which provides access to St. Bridgit's primary school. Comparison of data showed that ATC 11 northbound trips were higher than JTC 10's Arm C northbound trips (towards the junction). Likewise, ATC 11 southbound trips were lower than JTC 10's Arm C southbound movement (trips originating from the junction) - this is suspected to be due to some trips accessing the supermarket and surrounding facilities and the complexity of the junctions and links. Hence, to attain better calibration at JTC 10 and maintain model robustness, the greater of the two observed survey counts were considered as zone totals for Zone 10.


Figure 4.3 Zone 10 related JTC and ATC locations

### 4.2.4 Stage 4 - Uplift Matrix

The matrices developed in Stage 3 (from ANPR and VISUM combined matrices) were uplifted to match O-D totals. This was carried out by applying a doubly constrained factor model using the Furness method. This was undertaken to ensure that all vehicles that travelled through the network are accounted for in the model and ensures that the volume of vehicles more accurately match captured OD totals of the JTC survey.

Based on the above steps, the matrices for the AM and PM Peak, Warm Up and Cool Down periods were derived. Final AM and PM Peak hour matrices used in the models are shown in Appendix C as per Table 4.2. In total, for each of the AM and PM peak models, nine O-D matrices for each 15-minute period were constructed for AM peak and PM peak for each vehicle class (LV and HV).

Table 4.2 Matrices defined for Warm up - Peak - Cool down

| Matrix Type | Simulation time | AM period | PM period |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| Warm Up (2 Matrices) | $0-1800$ | $0730-0800$ | $1630-1700$ |
| Peak (4 Matrices) | $1800-5400$ | $0800-0900$ | $1700-1800$ |
| Cool Down (3 Matrices) | $5400-8100$ | $0900-0945$ | $1800-1845$ |

An extended Cool Down period has been allowed for, allowing the opportunity for future scenarios to look at later time periods' activity.

## 5. Model Convergence - Calibration - Validation

### 5.1 Model Convergence

### 5.1.1 Overview

As the VISSIM model has been setup and operated using the Dynamic Assignment module, in which a series of iterated simulations are used to determine the route of a vehicle through the network based on cost, there is a need to assess the convergence of the model. This is required to establish a point where the travel times and volumes do not change significantly from one iteration to the next, enabling the model to be deemed stable and results to be analysed in confidence.

TII Guidelines have been used to ensure suitability. TII's Project Appraisal Guidelines for National Roads Unit 5.1 - Construction of Transport Models ${ }^{4}$ provides a series of criteria that should be used to assess the convergence and stability of traffic models. The following convergence criteria can be assessed within the context of VISSIM:

- 'Delta' or '\%GAP': The difference between the costs along the chosen routes and those along the minimum cost routes, summed across the whole network, and expressed as a percentage of the minimum costs. This indicator is the most appropriate (truest) measure of assignment convergence.
- 'P' or 'P2': The percentage of links on which flows (given by 'P') or costs (given by 'P2') change by less than a fixed percentage between successive iterations. The percentage of links with minor changes in flow or cost provides an insight into the stability of the assignment as opposed to the degree of convergence. In other words, these measures are not sufficient indicators of convergence in their own right.

Table 5.1 Summary of Convergence Measures

| Measure of Convergence | Base Model Acceptability Guideline Values |
| :--- | :--- |
| a) Delta and \%GAP | $<0.1 \%$ or at least stable with convergence fully documented and all other <br> criteria met |
| b) $\%$ of links with flow change (P) <br> $<1 \%$ | Four consecutive iterations $>98 \%$ |
| c) $\%$ of links with cost change (P2) <br> $<1 \%$ | Four consecutive iterations $>98 \%$ |

### 5.1.2 Model Convergence Results

The AM and PM models were initially run using VISSIM's default random seed and assigning travel demand matrices in batch mode. The simulation started with 85\% of the total O-D demand which was gradually increased by $2.5 \%$ per run until $100 \%$ demand was reached. This was done to establish the initial costs (BEW files) and paths (WEG files) within the network.

Using the path and cost files from the first simulation run, the batch run of the models continued until the criteria stated above was fulfilled, using the same random seed in order to assess the convergence of the model. For each of the simulation runs, a cost file, a path file, Network Performance Evaluation (NPE file) and Convergence Evaluation file (CVA file) were output.

[^3]Table 5.2 Convergence Summary - AM Period

| Run | Total Travel Time |  | Convergence Figures on Paths |  |  |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total <br> (h) | 191.82 | - | Volume (\%) | Travel Time (\%) |
| 17 | 190.79 | Difference from previous run (\%) |  |  |  |
| 18 | 191.37 | $-0.53 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| 19 | 190.78 | $0.31 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $97 \%$ |  |
| 20 | 191.39 | $-0.31 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |
| 21 |  | $0.32 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |

Table 5.3 Convergence Summary - PM period

| Run | Total Travel Time |  | Convergence Figures on Paths |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Total Travel Time (h) | Difference from previous run (\%) | Volume (\%) | Travel Time (\%) |  |
| 14 | 170.47 | - | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| 15 | 170.21 | $-0.16 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $98 \%$ |  |
| 16 | 170.15 | $-0.03 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |  |
| 17 | 169.76 | $-0.23 \%$ |  | $100 \%$ | $99 \%$ |
| 18 | 170.13 | $0.22 \%$ | $100 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

For the AM Peak model, the criteria a), b) and c) have been met, as in Table 5.2. For criteria a), percentage change in total user's costs for four consecutive iterations is less than $1 \%$ (user cost represented by total travel time). For criterion b), $100 \%$ of the paths were identified to have a change in all path traffic volumes less than five vehicles or less than $5 \%$. For criterion c), around $98 \%$ of paths had a difference in travel time on paths within 0-15\% for more than four consecutive iterations.

For the PM Peak model, the criteria a), b) and c) have been met, as in Table 5.3. For criteria a), percentage change in total user's costs for four consecutive iterations is less than $1 \%$. For criterion b), $100 \%$ of the paths were identified to have a change in all path traffic volumes less than five vehicles or less than 5\%. For criterion c), around 99\% of paths had a difference in travel time on paths within 0-15\% for more than four consecutive iterations.

As all the criteria a), b) and c) have been fulfilled for both AM and PM peak models, it has been deemed acceptable. Further to this, due to the number of runs undertaken (AM model - 21 runs \& PM model 18 runs), there is confidence in the convergence within the model.

### 5.2 Calibration - Validation

### 5.2.1 Overview

Following convergence, the VISSIM models were taken forward for calibration and validation. This process is done to ensure that the inputs into the model are representative of on-site conditions.

Model calibration involves the estimation and subsequent adjustment of parameters within a model to best fit observations. The process of model validation determines how well the model estimates compare with site and observations. To correctly model on-site behaviour, a number of factors are considered for the model, as listed below:

- Correct coding of link lengths and widths;
- Accurate representation of traffic patterns in the area;
- Key junction areas being modelled accurately;
- The correct level of traffic being able to traverse the network and equally the correct levels are being suppressed; and
- Refining and adjustment of the trip matrices;
- Optimising signal operation; and
- Checking VISSIM diagnostic outputs for warnings and errors.

TII (PE-PAG-02015, 2016, PAG Unit 5.1, Chapter 5) "Project Appraisal Guidelines for National Roads Unit 5.1 - Construction of Transport Models" provides criteria that should be followed to determine level of calibration or validation, summarised in Table 5.4.

## Table 5.4 TII Criteria for Calibration and Validation

| Criteria | Acceptability Guidelines |
| :---: | :---: |
| Assigned Hourly Flows* compared with observed flows. <br> 1. Individual flows within $15 \%$ for flows $700-2700 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> 2. Individual flows within 100 vph for flows $<700 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> 3. Individual flows within 400 vph for flows $<2700 \mathrm{vph}$ <br> 4. Total screenline flows (normally $>5$ links) to be within $5 \%$ <br> 5. GEH Statistic <br> i. Individual flows: $\mathrm{GEH}<5$ <br> ii. Screenline** totals: GEH < 4 | All (or nearly all) screenlines Greater than $85 \%$ of all cases |
| Notes: <br> * link flows or turning movements in vehicles per hour (vph) <br> **Screenlines containing high flow routes such as motorways should be presented both including and excluding such routes. |  |
| Modelled journey times compared with observed times <br> 6. Times within $15 \%$ (or 1 minute if higher) | > 85\% of routes |

### 5.2.2 Model Calibration

Model calibration assessed O-D matrix flows and junction turning flows. To test the robustness of the models, results were extracted for 10 different seeds, starting from random seed 42 with a random seed increment of 1 per run, and the corresponding average values were used.

Table 5.5 Entry Flow Calibration - All Vehicles - AM Peak

| Zon <br> $e$ | Location | Matrix | Modell <br> ed | Abs. | $\%$ | GEH | $<5 \% ?$ | $<4$ <br> GEH? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 365 | 361 | -4 | $-1 \%$ | 0.20 | Y | Y |
| 2 | Green Rd | 83 | 78 | -5 | $-6 \%$ | 0.57 | N | Y |
| 3 | Southgreen Rd | 32 | 28 | -4 | $-11 \%$ | 0.64 | N | Y |
| 4 | Old Rd | 39 | 34 | -5 | $-13 \%$ | 0.85 | N | Y |
| 5 | R401 Dunmurray Rd | 469 | 466 | -3 | $-1 \%$ | 0.15 | Y | Y |
| 6 | R415 Rathbride Rd | 247 | 246 | -1 | $0 \%$ | 0.04 | Y | Y |
| 7 | R413 Melitta Rd | 310 | 306 | -4 | $-1 \%$ | 0.23 | Y | Y |
| 8 | R445 Curragh Rd | 390 | 388 | -2 | $-1 \%$ | 0.11 | Y | Y |
| 9 | Tully Rd | 98 | 96 | -2 | $-2 \%$ | 0.19 | Y | Y |
| 10 | Grey Abbey Rd | 207 | 203 | -4 | $-2 \%$ | 0.30 | Y | Y |
| 11 | R415 Nurney | 346 | 343 | -3 | $-1 \%$ | 0.17 | Y | Y |
| 12 | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 365 | 361 | -4 | $-1 \%$ | 0.20 | Y | Y |
| LEVEL OF CALIBRATION ACHIEVED |  |  |  |  |  | $73 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

Table 5.6 Entry Flow Calibration - All Vehicles - AM Peak

| Zon <br> $e$ | Location | Matrix | Modell <br> ed | Abs. | $\%$ | GEH | $<5 \% ?$ | <4 <br> GEH? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 234 | 233 | -1 | $-1 \%$ | 0.08 | Y | Y |
| 2 | Green Rd | 58 | 58 | 0 | $0 \%$ | 0.03 | Y | Y |
| 3 | Southgreen Rd | 32 | 33 | 1 | $3 \%$ | 0.16 | Y | Y |
| 4 | Old Rd | 33 | 31 | -2 | $-7 \%$ | 0.43 | N | Y |
| 5 | R401 Dunmurray Rd | 276 | 275 | -1 | $0 \%$ | 0.07 | Y | Y |
| 6 | R415 Rathbride Rd | 262 | 258 | -4 | $-2 \%$ | 0.26 | Y | Y |
| 7 | R413 Melitta Rd | 307 | 302 | -5 | $-2 \%$ | 0.27 | Y | Y |
| 8 | R445 Curragh Rd | 536 | 533 | -3 | $0 \%$ | 0.11 | Y | Y |
| 9 | Tully Rd | 86 | 81 | -5 | $-6 \%$ | 0.53 | N | Y |
| 10 | Grey Abbey Rd | 166 | 164 | -2 | $-1 \%$ | 0.15 | Y | Y |
| 11 | R415 Nurney | 301 | 298 | -3 | $-1 \%$ | 0.18 | Y | Y |
| 12 | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 234 | 233 | -1 | $-1 \%$ | 0.08 | Y | Y |
| LEVEL OF CALIBRATION ACHIEVED |  |  |  |  |  | $82 \%$ | $100 \%$ |  |

To demonstrate that the correct flows enter the model, comparisons were made between the O-D flow matrix and VISSIM model entry flows, Table 5.5 and

Table 5.6 show these results for the AM and PM peaks respectively. Both the peaks satisfy GEH < 4 criteria, with very slight differences in absolute terms. Hence, entry flows O-D flows have good correlation with the matrices.

Calibration of the model was also checked based on the 116 junction turning counts from the survey data. Table 5.7 and Table 5.8 show that turning flows for AM and PM peak satisfy TII criteria for both GEH and individual flow. AM peak and PM peak attain 85\% turning flows with GEH < 5. Turning counts satisfying individual flow criteria for AM and PM peaks are 99\% and 100\% respectively.

Table 5.7 Junction Turning Counts Calibration Summary - AM Peak

| PAG Criteria | Within | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Counts with GEH <5 | 99 | 116 | $85 \%$ |
| \% Flows within Individual Flow | 115 | 116 | $99 \%$ |

Table 5.8 Junction Turning Counts Calibration Summary - PM Peak

| PAG Criteria | Within | Total | \% |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| \% Counts with GEH $<5$ | 99 | 116 | $85 \%$ |
| \% Flows within Individual Flow | 116 | 116 | $100 \%$ |

As demonstrated in previous tables the model has been correctly calibrated based on entry flows and turning flows. Hence, the model can be taken forward to assess validation. Appendix D shows detailed results of turning flows calibration.

### 5.2.3 Model validation

The model has been validated with ATC link flows and journey times. ATC data was tested against link flows at locations identified in section 2.2.2. Journey times from the model were tested across 56 routes based on the data extracted as per section 2.2.4.

Table 5.9 and Table 5.10 show ATC link flow validation results for AM peak and PM peak respectively. In both peaks there are some variations experienced at the ATC sites in relation to flows (seeking within

5\%), however GEH are generally in the expected range (< 4 in the case of screenline comparison). The AM peak achieves $75 \%$ links with GEH < 4, slightly under the sought $85 \%$ from PAG guidelines and so a specific investigation was undertaken. The links failing this criterion were observed to be primarily in the south of the study area, in the vicinity of Bride Street and Grey Abbey Road. These areas have a high number of key trip attractors such as schools and supermarkets, additionally the pedestrian crossings on Cleamore Road make the area more complex and difficult to fully calibrate. However, JTCs in the area are well calibrated in AM peak, and are more accurate in relation to the turning movements on the specific survey day. Whilst the ATCs do not fully achieve the $85 \%$ target, the reasons are well understood and all other measures indicate that the model remains wholly representative.

The PM peak has good correlation with GEH, with $90 \%$ links achieving the criterion. As seen in the AM peak, links failing this criterion are similarly in the south of the study area. PM matrices needed no additional adjustments. Both the peaks have good calibration in turning movements for sites 10 and 11, with almost all the turns passing the GEH and individual flow criteria. The PM model therefore validates well, within the guideline criteria for screenlines.

Table 5.9 ATC Link Flow Validation - AM Peak

| ATC | Location | ATC | Modelled | Abs. | \% | GEH | <5\%? | $<4$ GEH? |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1E | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 341 | 361 | 20 | 6\% | 1.06 | N | Y |
| 1W | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 164 | 185 | 21 | 13\% | 1.59 | N | Y |
| 2 E | Green Road | 90 | 80 | -10 | -11\% | 1.06 | N | Y |
| 2W | Green Road | 53 | 46 | -7 | -13\% | 0.94 | N | Y |
| 3 S | South Green Road | 30 | 28 | -2 | -7\% | 0.37 | N | Y |
| 3N | South Green Road | 15 | 17 | 2 | 15\% | 0.55 | N | Y |
| 4 S | Old Road | 35 | 34 | -1 | -3\% | 0.20 | Y | Y |
| 4 N | Old Road | 15 | 15 | 0 | 1\% | 0.05 | Y | Y |
| 10N | Tully Road | 102 | 96 | -6 | -6\% | 0.58 | N | Y |
| 10S | Tully Road | 68 | 92 | 24 | 35\% | 2.68 | N | Y |
| 11S | Grey Abbey Road | 91 | 213 | 122 | 135\% | 9.91 | N | N |
| 11N | Grey Abbey Road | 193 | 203 | 10 | 5\% | 0.68 | Y | Y |
| 13E | Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre | 392 | 344 | -48 | -12\% | 2.48 | N | Y |
| 13W | Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre | 259 | 168 | -91 | -35\% | 6.22 | N | N |
| 14 N | Bride Street | 372 | 347 | -25 | -7\% | 1.31 | N | Y |
| 14 S | Bride Street | 381 | 408 | 27 | 7\% | 1.36 | N | Y |
| 15E | Dublin Road (R445) | 371 | 379 | 8 | 2\% | 0.40 | Y | Y |
| 15W | Dublin Road (R445) | 362 | 270 | -92 | -25\% | 5.15 | N | N |
| 16 N | Bride Street (South of Town Centre) | 218 | 140 | -78 | -36\% | 5.82 | N | N |
| 16 S | Bride Street (South of Town Centre) | 215 | 132 | -83 | -39\% | 6.29 | N | N |
| LEVEL OF VALIDATION ACHIEVED |  |  |  |  |  |  | 20\% | 75\% |

Table 5.10 ATC Link Flow Validation - PM Peak

| ATC | Location | ATC | Modelled | Abs. | $\%$ | GEH | $<5 \% ?$ | $<4$ <br> GEH? |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1E | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 216 | 233 | 17 | $8 \%$ | 1.16 | N | Y |
| 1W | R445 Monasterevin Rd | 348 | 355 | 7 | $2 \%$ | 0.39 | Y | Y |
| 2E | Green Road | 53 | 58 | 5 | $9 \%$ | 0.67 | N | Y |
| 2W | Green Road | 75 | 63 | -12 | - | 1.49 | N | Y |
| 3S | South Green Road | 24 | 33 | 9 | $40 \%$ | 1.77 | N | Y |
| 3N | South Green Road | 28 | 21 | -7 | - | 1.49 | N | Y |
| 4S | Old Road |  |  |  | $26 \%$ |  |  |  |
| 4N | Old Road | 17 | 31 | 14 | $82 \%$ | 2.86 | N | Y |


| 10N | Tully Road | 91 | 81 | -10 | - | 1.10 | N | Y |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :---: |
| 10S | Tully Road | 106 | 99 | -7 | $-7 \%$ | 0.69 | N | Y |
| 11S | Grey Abbey Road | 242 | 272 | 30 | $12 \%$ | 1.85 | N | Y |
| 11N | Grey Abbey Road | 165 | 164 | -1 | $0 \%$ | 0.05 | Y | Y |
| 13 E | Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre | 338 | 304 | -34 | - | 1.89 | N | Y |
| 13 W | Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre | 352 | 291 | -61 | - | 3.42 | N | Y |
| 14N | Bride Street | 412 | 329 | -83 | - | 4.33 | N | N |
| 14S | Bride Street | 414 | 363 | -51 | - | 2.57 | N | Y |
| 15E | Dublin Road (R445) | 331 | 327 | -4 | $-1 \%$ | 0.23 | Y | Y |
| 15W | Dublin Road (R445) | 422 | 433 | 11 | $3 \%$ | 0.52 | Y | Y |
| 16 N | Bride Street (South of Town Centre) | 179 | 124 | -55 | - | 4.45 | N | N |
| 16S | Bride Street (South of Town Centre) | 224 | 212 | -12 | $-6 \%$ | 0.84 | N | Y |
| LEVEL OF VALIDATION ACHIEVED |  |  |  |  |  | $20 \%$ | $90 \%$ |  |

Journey time validation results show good correlation with the observed data as can be viewed from the summary provided in Table 5.11 and Table 5.12 for the AM and PM peaks respectively. A detailed breakdown of results of validation is provided in Appendix E. Journey time results to the south of the study area attain high levels of consistency with observations. Though there is some discrepancy in the ATC validation in the south of the study area (discussed previously), journey times of modelled vehicles replicate site conditions well. Journey times were met in $88 \%$ and $91 \%$ of the cases (routes) in AM peak and PM peak respectively, and satisfy PAG criteria for journey time - seeking to be within $15 \%$ and absolute difference less than 60 sec . Hence, from above, the KTM is deemed validated to replicate site conditions in the base year.

Table 5.11 Journey Time Validation - AM peak

| TIl Criteria | Within | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Routes with JT difference less than 60 sec | 54 | 56 | $96 \%$ |
| b) Routes with JT difference less than $15 \%$ | 49 | 56 | $88 \%$ |
| c) Routes satisfying both the criteria a) \& b) | 49 | 56 | $88 \%$ |

Table 5.12 Journey Time Validation - PM peak

| TII Criteria | Within | Total | $\%$ |
| :--- | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| a) Routes with JT difference less than 60 sec | 54 | 56 | $96 \%$ |
| b) Routes with JT difference less than $15 \%$ | 51 | 56 | $91 \%$ |
| c) Routes satisfying both the criteria a) \& b) | 51 | 56 | $91 \%$ |

## 6. Summary

The KTM incorporates many roads through the town centre and connections to major roads nearby. The model has been calibrated and validated successfully to October 2018 conditions, noting some recent comparable data was collected for quality improvement and validation. This model is suitable to develop forecast models and test for traffic growth, development schemes and new or amended roads infrastructure throughout the town.

Convergence - The models have been successfully converged for both AM and PM peak periods, meeting TII convergence criteria, demonstrating high levels of stability.

Calibration - The VISSIM models have been well calibrated with $100 \%$ zone entry flows meeting the necessary criteria for both the peaks. Modelled and observed junction turning counts show good correlation with $85 \%$ achieving GEHs less than 5 in the AM and PM peaks.

Validation - The models were validated against ATC link flows and journey times of 56 routes in the model. ATC comparison shows AM peak achieves $75 \%$ link counts and PM peak $90 \%$ link counts satisfying <4 GEH. Both the models show good journey time validation, with $88 \%$ AM and $91 \%$ PM, satisfying PAG criteria of observed and modelled difference less than $15 \%$ and 60 seconds. Some ATC sites, particularly in the south of the town, failed to meet the screenline criteria, but through further investigation the reasons are understood. Bearing in mind the calibration and validation used four unique data sets (O-D, JTC, ATC and journey times), and that applicable elements of the strategic VISUM model were included, both the peak models show good correlation to the base conditions and are considered to appropriately model the town.

Thus, the AM and PM peaks of the KTM have been well calibrated and validated and are suitable to test for future conditions, infrastructure changes, new schemes and developments.

## Appendices

Appendix A Survey Specifications

PROJECT:

SURVEY TYPE: Junction Turning Counts, Automatic Traffic Counts, Origin-Destination Surveys, and Parking surveys

JTC SURVEYS: Vehicular junction turning count (JTC) surveys are required at the following sites in Kildare Town:

1. Monasterevin Road (R445) / Pigeon Lane
2. Pigeon Lane / Green Road / Friary Road / South Green Road
3. South Green Road / Bothairín na gCorp
4. Old Road / Bothairín na gCorp
5. Dunmurray Road (R401) / Bothairín na gCorp
6. Dunmurray Road (R401) / Station Road (R415) / Melitta Road (R413)
7. Bride Street / Market Square
8. Bride Street / R445
9. Monasterevin Road (R445) / White Abbey Road / Academy St.
10. Bride Street / Grey Abbey Road
11. Meadow Rd/Tully Road
12. Grey Abbey Link Rd/R415 Ghost island T-junction
13. M7 Southern Roundabout
14. M7 Northern Roundabout
15. Kildare Village Roundabout
16. R415 / Monasterevin Road (R445) Signals
17. Tesco Entrance/R445
18. Dunmurrary Road / Fair Green Road
19. Dunmurrary Road / Rathbride Demesne
20. Station Road / Rathbride Demesne
21. Station Road / Fair Green Road
22. R445/Meadow Road
23. R445/ French Furze Road

For each of these sites, queue lengths are also required on each junction arm in 15 minute intervals. The surveys are required for the 12 hour period 7 am to 7 pm . A site location map is indicated in Figures 1 and 2 below.


Figure 1: JTC survey sites


Figure 2: JTC survey sites (Town Centre)

ATC/O-D SURVEYS: Automatic traffic count (ATC) surveys and the associated Origin-Destination (O-D) surveys are required at the following 16 sites, as shown in Figures 3 \& 4 :

1. Monasterevin Road (R445)
2. Green Road
3. South Green Road
4. Old Road
5. Dunmurray Road (R401)
6. Station Road (R415)
7. Melitta Road (R413)
8. Dublin Road (R445)
9. French Furze Road
10. Tully Road
11. Grey Abbey Road
12. R415
13. Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre
14. Bride Street (North of Town Centre)
15. Dublin Road (R445) - Town Centre
16. Bride Street (South of Town Centre)


Figure 3: ATC and O-D survey sites


Figure 4: ATC and O-D survey sites (Town Centre)

Origin-Destination (O-D) surveys are to be carried out using the ANPR method. These O-D surveys are being undertaken in order to determine the various routes which vehicles may choose to travel throughout the study area.

The ANPR surveys are required for the 12 hour period 7 am to 7 pm . A vehicle will start to be recorded when it passes through its first cordon coming into the network and will stop being recorded when it passes through the last cordon that it is seen (NB appropriate filters should be applied to the data to ensure stops within the town are captured). Any other cordons that this vehicle has been seen to be travelling through will also be recorded as it is necessary to record each vehicles route through the network.

Average Journey Times between sites to also be produced from the ANPR Surveys for the 12 hour period. Appropriate filters should be applied to the ANPR and journey time data to ensure journeys with a stop between sites are identified.

## All ATCs surveys should be undertaken for a period of 1 week.

Parking surveys: Car parking surveys are required on street at the locations highlighted in Figure 5. Parking surveys are required for the 12 hour period 7 am to 7 pm . Approximate numbers of car parking spaces are provided below;

- Bothairín na gCorp - 30 spaces
- Bride Street - No dedicated marked spaces on road.
- R445-30 spaces
- Pigeon Lane - No dedicated marked spaces on road.
- Green Road - No dedicated marked spaces on road.
- Old Road - No dedicated marked spaces on road.


## Kildare



Figure 5: Parking Survey Locations
DAYS: The ANPR, JTC and Parking surveys are to be undertaken on a neutral weekday (Tuesday or Wednesday) within the school term. All ANPR, JTC and Parking surveys are required for the 12 hour period 7 am to 7 pm .

RETURN: Completed surveys should be returned to AECOM two weeks after the survey date.

FORMAT REQUIRED: All data is to be presented in MS Excel or compatible format, by day / weekly total. A copy of survey video footage must be supplied to AECOM on DVD, USB, or through a file sharing website. Vehicular JTC's are to also to be presented in a format that clearly identifies the origin and destination of each of the turning movements.
The results of the parking survey are to be provided as tabulated data on a location basis for each 15 mins (Excel), along with analysis reports on duration, occupancy, turnover and legality for the separate locations using number plate matching.
Data is to be made available within two weeks of the survey being carried out.
PRESENTATION: The traffic count data should record the following classification of vehicles / users at 15 minute intervals with hourly and 3 -hourly totals highlighted in the table.

$$
\begin{array}{ll}
- & \text { Car } \\
- & \text { Taxi } \\
- & \text { LGV } \\
- & \text { OGV1 }
\end{array}
$$

ADDITIONAL INFO It is the supplier's responsibility to ensure continuous data collection in accordance with this specification and the NTA specification for traffic surveys. Any omission may require all the surveys to be undertaken again.
Should there be any item in this specification that is unclear, AECOM should be contacted as soon as possible to provide clarification. It is the responsibility of the survey contractor to ensure that the survey is undertaken to the requirements of this specification, and a discussion with the design team is strongly recommended.
Any notable events or conditions during the survey period should be noted and reported.
The successful Traffic Counting Company shall comply with the Safety Health and Welfare at Work Act 2005 (Nr 10 of 2005) (hereinafter called "the Act") and the Regulations made thereafter.

## PRECISE SITE LOCATIONS TO BE CLARIFIED WITH AECOM PRIOR TO COMMENCEMENT OF SURVEYS

## Appendix B Survey Sites and Description

## Junction Turning Counts location and arm IDs



| Site No | Arm | ID | Site Name | Name |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | A | 1A | Site 01 - Pigeon Lane / Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) / Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) | Pigeon Lane |
| 1 | B | 1B |  | Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) |
| 1 | C | 1C |  | Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) |
| 2 | A | 2A | Site 02 - Southgreen Road / Friary Road / Pigeon Lane / Green Road | Southgreen Road |
| 2 | B | 2B |  | Friary Road |
| 2 | C | 2C |  | Pigeon Lane |
| 2 | D | 2D |  | Green Road |
| 3 | A | 3A | Site 03 - Southgreen Road / Bothairín na gCorp / Green Road / Car Park Access | Southgreen Road |
| 3 | B | 3B |  | Bothairín na gCorp |
| 3 | C | 3C |  | Green Road |
| 3 | D | 3D |  | Car Park Access |
| 4 | A | 4A | Site 04 - Old Road (NNW) / Old Road(E) / Bothairín na gCorp | Old Road (NNW) |
| 4 | B | 4B |  | Old Road(E) |
| 4 | C | 4C |  | Bothairín na gCorp |
| 5 | A | 5A | Site 05 - Dunmurray Road (R401) (NNW) / Dunmurray Road (R401) (SE) / Old Road | Dunmurray Road (R401) (NNW) |
| 5 | B | 5B |  | Dunmurray Road (R401) (SE) |
| 5 | C | 5C |  | Old Road |
| 6 | A | 6A |  | Station Road (R415)(NNE) |


| 6 | B | 6B | Site 06 - Station Road (R415) (NNE) / Melitta Road / Station Road (R415) (SSW) / Dunmurray Road (R401) | Melitta Road |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 6 | C | 6C |  | Station Road (R415) (SSW) |
| 6 | D | 6D |  | Dunmurray Road (R401) |
| 7 | A | 7A | Site 07 - Bride Street (NE) / Market Square (SSE) / Bride Street (WSW) / Market Square (NW) | Bride Street (NE) |
| 7 | B | 7B |  | Market Square (SSE) |
| 7 | C | 7C |  | Bride Street (WSW) |
| 7 | D | 7D |  | Market Square (NW) |
| 8 | A | 8A | Site 08 - Bride Street (NNE) / R445 (ESE) / Bride Street (SSW) / R445(WNW) | Bride Street (NNE) |
| 8 | B | 8B |  | R445(ESE) |
| 8 | C | 8C |  | Bride Street (SSW) |
| 8 | D | 8D |  | R445(WNW) |
| 9 | A | 9A | Site 09 - White Abbey Road / Claregate Street / Academy Street / Monasterevin Road (R445) | White Abbey Road |
| 9 | B | 9B |  | Claregate Street |
| 9 | C | 9C |  | Academy Street |
| 9 | D | 9D |  | Monasterevin Road (R445) |
| 10 | A | 10A | Site 10 - Bride Street (NNE) / Bride Street (SE) / Grey Abbey Road / Cleamore Road | Bride Street (NNE) |
| 10 | B | 10B |  | Bride Street (SE) |
| 10 | C | 10C |  | Grey Abbey Road |
| 10 | D | 10D |  | Cleamore Road |
| 11 | A | 11A | Site 11 - Tully Road (NW) / Car Park Access / Meadow Road / Tully Road (SSE) | Tully Road (NW) |
| 11 | B | 11B |  | Car Park Access |
| 11 | C | 11C |  | Meadow Road |
| 11 | D | 11D |  | Tully Road (SSE) |
| 16 | A | 16A | Site 16 - Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) / R415 / Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) | Monasterevin Road (R445) (E) |
| 16 | B | 16B |  | R415 |
| 16 | C | 16C |  | Monasterevin Road (R445) (W) |
| 17 | A | 17A | Site 17 - R445 (E) / Tesco Entrance / R445(W) | R445(E) |
| 17 | B | 17B |  | Tesco Entrance |
| 17 | C | 17C |  | R445(W) |
| 22 | A | 22A | Site 22 - Car Park Access / Magee Terrace R445(ESE) / Meadow Road / R445 (WNW) | Car Park Access |
| 22 | B | 22B |  | Magee Terrace |
| 22 | C | 22C |  | R445(ESE) |
| 22 | D | 22D |  | Meadow Road |
| 22 | E | 22E |  | R445(WNW) |

## ATC location and direction descriptions



| ATC No. | Description | Direction 1 | Direction 2 |
| ---: | :--- | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | Eastbound | Westbound |
| 2 | Green Road | Eastbound | Westbound |
| 3 | South Green Road | Northbound | Southbound |
| 4 | Old Road | Northbound | Southbound |
| 10 | Tully Road | Northbound | Southbound |
| 11 | Grey Abbey Road | Northbound | Southbound |
| 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) - Town Centre | Northbound | Southbound |
| 14 | Bride Street | Eastbound | Westbound |
| 15 | Dublin Road (R445) | Northbound | Southbound |
| 16 | Bride Street (South of Town Centre) |  | Westbound |

## Journey Time location and reference points



| Zone/ JT point | Coordinates | Description |
| :---: | :--- | :--- |
| 1 | $53.157217,-6.932923$ | Knockshough Glebe, Co. Kildare, Ireland |
| 2 | $53.163638,-6.924920$ | 304-312 Green Rd, Co. Kildare, Ireland |
| 5 | $53.169118,-6.912912$ | Dunmurray Rd, Bishopsland, Kildare, Ireland |
| 6 | $53.167975,-6.903094$ | R415, Whitesland East, Kildare, Ireland |
| 7 | $53.163479,-6.892090$ | Melitta Rd, Collaghknock Glebe, Co. Kildare, Ireland |
| 8 | $53.154732,-6.902980$ | R445, Kildare, Ireland |
| 9 | $53.149771,-6.908155$ | Tully Rd, Co. Kildare, Ireland |
| 12 | $53.155102,-6.919154$ | R415, Greyabbey, Kildare, Ireland |

## Appendix C Matrices

## ANPR O-D pairs AM Peak 08:00-09:00

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 31 | 19 | 17 | 50 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 46 | 236 |
| 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 13 | 3 | 7 | 13 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 12 | 69 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 1 | 3 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 3 | 17 |
| 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 3 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 4 |
| 5 | 18 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 6 | 20 | 16 | 11 | 3 | 0 | 17 | 159 |
| 6 | 16 | 2 | 0 | 1 | 12 | 0 | 20 | 14 | 3 | 8 | 0 | 18 | 164 |
| 7 | 7 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 6 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 53 |
| 8 | 15 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 5 | 6 | 0 | 13 | 4 | 0 | 6 | 166 |
| 9 | 3 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 16 | 5 | 3 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 63 |
| 10 | 6 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 8 | 14 | 4 | 23 | 9 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 122 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 28 | 5 | 7 | 3 | 50 | 32 | 8 | 21 | 2 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 233 |
| TOTAL | 132 | 36 | 14 | 12 | 218 | 140 | 133 | 310 | 63 | 54 | 0 | 150 |  |

ANPR O-D pairs PM Peak 17:00-18:00

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 2 | 5 | 14 | 5 | 31 | 4 | 8 | 0 | 25 | 144 |
| 2 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 4 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 31 |
| 3 | 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 5 | 17 |
| 4 | 5 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 3 | 6 |
| 5 | 5 | 4 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 5 | 5 | 3 | 6 | 0 | 14 | 75 |
| 6 | 19 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 4 | 0 | 5 | 2 | 6 | 27 | 0 | 21 | 145 |
| 7 | 14 | 5 | 1 | 1 | 7 | 3 | 0 | 6 | 2 | 5 | 0 | 5 | 92 |
| 8 | 52 | 7 | 3 | 2 | 10 | 11 | 4 | 0 | 15 | 41 | 0 | 19 | 308 |
| 9 | 3 | 0 | 1 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 0 | 9 | 0 | 8 | 0 | 2 | 61 |
| 10 | 5 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 2 | 9 | 2 | 15 | 10 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 122 |
| 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 12 | 41 | 7 | 2 | 3 | 13 | 17 | 2 | 15 | 3 | 3 | 0 | 0 | 211 |
| TOTAL | 199 | 37 | 14 | 18 | 67 | 116 | 56 | 193 | 68 | 197 | 0 | 203 |  |

VISUM Cordoned Matrices AM Peak 08:00-09:00

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 20 | 2 | 0 | 26 | 21 | 8 | 70 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 129 | 283 |
| 2 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 10 | 4 | 1 | 8 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 32 |
| 3 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 3 |
| 4 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 2 | 1 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 22 |
| 5 | 38 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 64 | 89 | 30 | 12 | 6 | 16 | 259 |
| 6 | 11 | 2 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 31 | 84 | 9 | 13 | 6 | 1 | 158 |
| 7 | 16 | 7 | 2 | 0 | 137 | 12 | 0 | 0 | 5 | 13 | 53 | 4 | 249 |
| 8 | 46 | 10 | 2 | 0 | 84 | 30 | 1 | 0 | 10 | 16 | 13 | 8 | 219 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 7 | 17 | 28 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 53 |
| 10 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 7 | 42 | 55 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 104 |
| 11 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 33 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 45 |
| 12 | 51 | 5 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 6 | 15 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 18 | 0 | 124 |
| TOTAL | 173 | 47 | 7 | 1 | 278 | 91 | 180 | 389 | 56 | 54 | 103 | 172 | 1551 |

VISUM Cordoned Matrices PM Peak 17:00-18:00

|  | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 | 7 | 8 | 9 | 10 | 11 | 12 | TOTAL |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 0 | 7 | 2 | 1 | 2 | 7 | 7 | 81 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 31 | 140 |
| 2 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 1 | 1 | 29 | 1 | 2 | 0 | 4 | 42 |
| 3 | 4 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 11 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 18 |
| 4 | 15 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1 | 9 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 1 | 28 |
| 5 | 49 | 2 | 1 | 1 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 49 | 5 | 9 | 1 | 0 | 152 |
| 6 | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 24 | 14 | 24 | 51 | 0 | 0 | 120 |
| 7 | 18 | 2 | 0 | 14 | 122 | 39 | 0 | 4 | 21 | 27 | 1 | 9 | 255 |
| 8 | 23 | 42 | 12 | 9 | 25 | 55 | 52 | 0 | 21 | 23 | 57 | 4 | 324 |
| 9 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 6 | 44 | 23 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 74 |
| 10 | 0 | 1 | 0 | 3 | 0 | 5 | 63 | 26 | 0 | 0 | 2 | 0 | 101 |
| 11 | 32 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 25 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 8 | 66 |
| 12 | 104 | 13 | 5 | 3 | 7 | 23 | 11 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 36 | 0 | 202 |
| TOTAL | 255 | 68 | 21 | 32 | 159 | 136 | 238 | 271 | 73 | 113 | 99 | 59 | 1522 |

Appendix C: VISSIM Matrices


```
\
```



| AM Build.Up | 7.30:00 | 7:45:00 | Consistency Checks |  | Prefurnes v Post-Furness |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 7.45:00 | 8.00:00 | Prefies |  |  |  |
| an P | 8.00:00 | ${ }_{\text {8.13:00 }}^{\text {8.1.00 }}$ |  | 4734.40 | 121.20 | 4855.60 |
|  | 8.30:00 | 8:45:00 |  | ${ }_{4623.63}$ | 146.39 | 470 |
|  | 8.45:00 | 9:00:000 |  | $2.34 \%$ | -20.79\% | 1.76\% |
| AM Cool-Down | 90:0000 | 9:15:00 |  |  |  |  |













| Peak 4 |  |  | 8:45 AM | 9:00 AM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $\overline{1}$ | $\frac{1}{0}$ |  | $\stackrel{2}{0}$ | 0 | 0 |  | ${ }_{0}$ |  |  | $\frac{7}{0}$ |  | 1 |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | ${ }^{10}$ |  |  |  | $\frac{12}{1}$ |  |
| $\frac{2}{3}$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{1}$ | 0 |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 1 |
| $\frac{4}{4}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |
| $\stackrel{6}{6}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| $\frac{7}{8}$ | $\frac{1}{0}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | $\stackrel{0}{0}$ |  | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\stackrel{2}{1}$ |
| $\stackrel{9}{9}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 1 |
| $\frac{10}{11}$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ | 0 |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | $\bigcirc$ |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  |
| $\frac{11}{12}$ | 0 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 |  | 0 | 2 |
| Total | 3 |  | 0 | 0 |  |  | 2 | 3 |  | 1 |  | , |  | , |  | 0 |  |  |  | 2 | ${ }_{14}$ |






```
My.aject Name:
\begin{subarray}{c}{\mathrm{ Suliject:}}\\{\mathrm{ Date: }}\end{subarray}
```

Vevicle Compostions Class Description


$\qquad$











Appendix D VISSIM Calibration Results<br>Junction Turning Flow Calibration Results

## Project Name: $\quad$ Kildare Town VISSIM Modelling

Project Number: 60629806
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Subject: } & \text { Kildare Turn Count Calibration AM Peak } \\ \text { Date: } & 27 \text { hay }\end{array}$



| VISSIM Node | 101 | CC I | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difiference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
| MCC Site 02 - Southgreen Road / Friary Road / Pigeon Lane / Green Road | A | 32 | Southgreen Road |  |  |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 75 | 39 | -36 | -48\% | 4.8 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 32 |  | C | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 178 | 195 | 17 | 10\% | 1.2 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 32 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 6 | 17 | 11 | 183\% | 3.2 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 34 | Friary Road | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 95 | 46 | -49 | -52\% | 5.8 | N | OK |
|  | B | 34 |  | C | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 7 | 0 | -7 | -100\% | 3.7 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 34 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 20 | 20 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 27 | Pigeon Lane | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 183 | 237 | 54 | 30\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 27 |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 10 | 0 | -10 | -100\% | 4.5 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 27 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 16 | 10 | -6 | -38\% | 1.7 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 | Green Road | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 13 | 30 | 17 | 131\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 27 | 33 | 6 | 22\% | 1.1 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 |  | C | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 41 | 17 | -24 | -59\% | 4.5 | Y | OK |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | 671 | 644 | -27 | -4\% |  |  |  |



\section*{| Total Vehicles | 560 | 586 | 26 | $5 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}

VISSIM Node $104 \quad$ MCC ID 5

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 05 - Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) / Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) / Old Road | A | 57 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) |  |  |  | B | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | 219 | 276 | 57 | 26\% | 3.6 | r | OK |
|  | A | 57 |  | C | 42 | Old Road | 248 | 178 | -70 | -28\% | 4.8 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | A | 58 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) | 161 | 268 | 107 | 66\% | 7.3 | N | Fail |
|  | B | 52 |  | C | 42 | Old Road | 71 | 58 | -13 | -18\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 41 | Old Road | A | 58 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) | 284 | 210 | -74 | -26\% | 4.7 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 41 |  | B | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | 74 | 98 | 24 | 32\% | 2.6 | Y | OK |

VISSIM Node $\quad 105 \quad$ MCC ID

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 06 - Station Road (R415)(NNE) / Melitta Road / Station Road (R415)(SSW) / Dunmurray Road (R401) | A | 55 | Station Road <br> (R415)(NNE) |  |  |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 56 | 52 | -4 | -7\% | 0.5 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 55 |  | C | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 171 | 138 | -33 | -19\% | 2.7 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 55 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 19 | 33 | 14 | 74\% | 2.7 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 53 | Melitta Road | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 32 | 30 | -2 | -6\% | 0.4 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 53 |  | C | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 120 | 83 | -37 | -31\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 53 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 158 | 182 | 24 | 15\% | 1.8 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station Road } \\ & \text { (R415)(SSW) } \end{aligned}$ | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 170 | 161 | -9 | -5\% | 0.7 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 121 | 122 | 1 | 1\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 55 | 110 | 55 | 100\% | 6.1 | N | OK |
|  | D | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 41 | 68 | 27 | 66\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 51 |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 152 | 164 | 12 | 8\% | 1.0 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 51 |  | c | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 91 | 128 | 37 | 41\% | 3.5 | Y | OK |

VISSIM Node $\quad 106 \quad$ MCC ID

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 07 - Bride Street(NE) / Market Square(SSE) / Bride Street(WSW) / Market Square(NW) | A | 6 | Bride Street(NE) |  |  |  | B | 7 | Market Square(SSE) | 169 | 205 | 36 | 21\% | 2.6 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 6 |  | C | 6 | Bride Street(WSW) | 227 | 142 | -85 | -37\% | 6.3 | N | OK |
|  | A | 6 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 0 | 0 | 0 | - | 0.0 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 8 | Market Square(SSE) | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 116 | 143 | 27 | 23\% | 2.4 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 8 |  | C | 6 | Bride Street(WSW) | 5 | 2 | -3 | -60\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 8 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 1 | 0 | -1 | -100\% | 1.4 | Y | OK |
| Street(WSW) / Market Square(NW) | C | 5 | Bride Street(WSW) | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 286 | 264 | -22 | -8\% | 1.3 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | B | 7 | Market Square(SSE) | 15 | 0 | -15 | -100\% | 5.5 | N | OK |
|  | C | 5 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 2 | 0 | -2 | -100\% | 2.0 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 45 |  | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 2 | 0 | -2 | -100\% | 2.0 | Y | OK |


|  | D | 45 | Market Square(NW) | B | 7 | Market Square(SSE) | 1 | 0 | -1 | -100\% | 1.4 | r | ок |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | D | 45 |  | c | 6 | Bride Street(Wsw) | 1 | 0 | -1 | -100\% |  | Y |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | 825 | 756 | -69 | -8\% |  |  |  |
| VISSIM Node | 107 | mсС ID | 8 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction |  | From |  |  |  |  | Flow Pe | $k$ Time |  |  |  |  | Individual |
| Junction |  |  |  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
|  | A | 6 |  | B | 3 | R445(ESE) | 11 | 90 | -11 | -100\% | 4.7 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 6 | Bride Street(NNE) | c | 10 | Bride Street(SSW) | 136 | 90 | -46 | -34\% | 4.3 | Y | ок |
|  | A | 6 |  | D | 2 | R445(WNW) | 80 | 54 | -26 | -33\% | 3.2 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 4 |  | A | 5 | Bride Street(NNE) | 15 | 0 | -15 | -100\% | 5.5 | N | ок |
| McC Site 08 - Bride | B | 4 | R445(ESE) | c | 10 | Bride Street(SSW) | 32 | 28 | -4 | -13\% | 0.7 | r | ok |
| Street(NNE) / R445(ESE) / | B | 4 |  | D | 2 | R445(WNW) | 149 | 96 | -53 | -36\% | 4.8 | Y | ок |
| Bride Street(SSW) | c | 72 |  | A | 5 | Bride Street(NNE) | 153 | 122 | -31 | -20\% | 2.6 | Y | ок |
| R445(WNW) | c | 72 | Bride Street(SSW) | B | 3 | R445(ESE) | 30 | 0 | -30 | -100\% | 7.7 | N | ок |
|  | c | 72 |  | D | 2 | R445(WNW) | 43 | 18 | -25 | -58\% | 4.5 | Y | ок |
|  | D |  |  | A |  | Bride Street(NNE) | 136 | 142 |  | 4\% | 0.5 | Y | ок |
|  | D | 1 | R445(WNW) | B | 3 | R445(ESE) | 199 | 177 | -22 | -11\% | 1.6 | Y | ок |
|  | D | 1 |  | c | 10 | Bride Street(SSW) | 11 | 13 | 2 | 18\% | 0.6 | Y | ок |


| Total Venicles | 995 | 740 | -255 | $-26 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 108 & \text { MCC ID } & 9\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difiference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 09-White Abbey Road / Claregate Street / Academy Street / Monasterevin Road (R445) | A | 11 | White Abbey Road |  |  |  | B | 1 | Claregate Street | 85 | 51 | -34 | -40\% | 4.1 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 11 |  | C | 60 | Academy Street | 76 | 21 | -55 | -72\% | 7.9 | N | OK |
|  | A | 11 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 7 | 0 | -7 | -100\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 2 | Claregate Street | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 78 | 40 | -38 | -49\% | 4.9 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 2 |  | c | 60 | Academy Street | 27 | 0 | -27 | -100\% | 7.3 | N | OK |
|  | B | 2 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 134 | 128 | -6 | -4\% | 0.5 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 59 | Academy Street | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 63 | 26 | -37 | -59\% | 5.5 | N | OK |
|  | c | 59 |  | B | 1 | Claregate Street | 14 | 0 | -14 | -100\% | 5.3 | N | OK |
|  | c | 59 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 35 | 16 | -19 | -54\% | 3.8 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 14 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 40 | 0 | -40 | -100\% | 8.9 | N | OK |
|  | D | 14 |  | B | 1 | Claregate Street | 306 | 297 | -9 | -3\% | 0.5 | r | OK |
|  | D | 14 |  | c | 60 | Academy Street | 51 | 30 | -21 | -41\% | 3.3 | Y | OK |


| Total Vehicles | 916 | 609 | -307 | $-34 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 109 & \text { MCC ID } & 10\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 10-Bride Street(NNE) / Bride Street(SE) / Grey Abbey Road / Cleamore Road | A | 67 | Bride Street(NNE) |  |  |  | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | 59 | 28 | -31 | -53\% | 4.7 | $Y$ | OK |
|  | A | 67 |  | C | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 106 | 104 | -2 | -2\% | 0.2 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 67 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 27 | 0 | -27 | -100\% | 7.3 | N | OK |
|  | B | 71 | Bride Street(SE) | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 49 | 50 | 1 | 2\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 71 |  | c | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 62 | 84 | 22 | 35\% | 2.6 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 71 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 43 | 17 | -26 | -60\% | 4.7 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 68 | Grey Abbey Road | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 90 | 91 | 1 | 1\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 68 |  | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | 52 | 87 | 35 | 67\% | 4.2 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 68 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 3 | 12 | 9 | 300\% | 3.3 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 60 | Cleamore Road | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 50 | 0 | -50 | -100\% | 10.0 | N | OK |
|  | D | 60 |  | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | 51 | 24 | -27 | -53\% | 4.4 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 60 |  | C | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 27 | 26 | -1 | -4\% | 0.2 | $\gamma$ | OK |


| VISSIM Node | 110 | MCC ID | 11 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow P | Time | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
| MCC Site 11 - Tully Road(NW)/Car Park Access / Meadow Road / Tully Road(SSE) | A | 70 | Tully Road(NW) |  |  |  | C | 65 | Meadow Road | 98 | 83 | -15 | -15\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 70 |  | D | 73 | Tully Road(SSE) | 52 | 56 | 4 | 8\% | 0.5 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 66 | Meadow Road | A | 71 | Tully Road(NW) | 92 | 80 | -12 | -13\% | 1.3 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 66 |  | D | 73 | Tully Road(SSE) | 22 | 37 | 15 | 68\% | 2.8 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 9 | Tully Road(SSE) | A | 71 | Tully Road(NW) | 62 | 71 | 9 | 15\% | 1.1 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 9 |  | c | 65 | Meadow Road | 32 | 24 | -8 | -25\% | 1.5 | Y | OK |



| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difierence |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 16 - Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) / R415 / Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | A | 18 | Monasterevin Road(R445)(E) |  |  |  | B | 26 | R415 | 174 | 203 | 29 | 17\% | 2.1 | $Y$ | OK |
|  | A | 18 |  | C | 24 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | 133 | 150 | 17 | 13\% | 1.4 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 25 | R415 | A | 19 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) | 292 | 306 | 14 | 5\% | 0.8 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 25 |  | C | 24 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | 39 | 39 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 23 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | A | 19 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) | 289 | 281 | -8 | -3\% | 0.5 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 23 |  | B | 26 | R415 | 75 | 75 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | Y | OK |


| VISSIM Node | 112 | MCC ID | 17 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
| MCC Site 17 - R445(E) / | A | 16 | R445(E) |  |  |  | C | 18 | R445(W) | 299 | 353 | 54 | 18\% | 3.0 | Y | OK |
| Tesco Entrance / R445(W) | c | 17 | R445(W) | A | 15 | R445(E) | 534 | 581 | 47 | 9\% | 2.0 | Y | OK |


| VISSIM Node | 113 | MCC ID | 22 |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
| MCC Site 22 - Car Park Access/ Magee Terrace / R445(ESE) / Meadow Road / R445(WNW) | C | 4 | R445(ESE) |  |  |  | D | 66 | Meadow Road | 129 | 118 | -11 | -9\% | 1.0 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 4 |  | E | 4 | R445(WNW) | 257 | 270 | 13 | 5\% | 0.8 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 65 | Meadow Road | C | 3 | R445(ESE) | 116 | 105 | -11 | -9\% | 1.0 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 65 |  | E | 4 | R445(WNW) | 34 | 0 | -34 | -100\% | 8.2 | N | OK |
|  | E | 3 | R445(WNW) | C | 3 | R445(ESE) | 344 | 379 | 35 | 10\% | 1.8 | Y | OK |
|  | E | 3 |  | D | 66 | Meadow Road | 14 | 0 | -14 | -100\% | 5.3 | N | OK |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | 894 | 872 | -22 | -2\% |  |  |  |


| Percentage of Validation Counts with GEH < 5 = |  |  | 99 | 85.34\% |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Individual Flows meeting DMRB criteria $=$ |  | 115 1 | 99.14\% |

## Project Name: $\quad$ Kildare Town VISSIM Modelling

Project Number: $\quad 60629806$
$\begin{array}{ll}\text { Subject: } & \text { Kildare Turn Count Calibration PM Peak } \\ \text { Date: } & \text { 27th May } 2020\end{array}$


| VISSIM Node | 101 | cc | 2 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | $\begin{gathered} \text { Individual } \\ \text { Flows } \end{gathered}$ |
| MCC Site 02 - Southgreen Road / Friary Road / Pigeon Lane / Green Road |  |  | Southgreen Road |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
|  | A | 32 |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 51 | 42 | -9 | -18\% | 1.3 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 32 |  | C | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 144 | 194 | 50 | 35\% | 3.8 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 32 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 14 | 8 | -6 | -43\% | 1.8 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 34 | Friary Road | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 63 | 31 | -32 | -51\% | 4.7 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 34 |  | c | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 18 | 0 | -18 | -100\% | 6.0 | N | OK |
|  | B | 34 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 26 | 35 | 9 | 35\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 27 | Pigeon Lane | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 118 | 128 | 10 | 8\% | 0.9 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 27 |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 5 | 0 | -5 | -100\% | 3.2 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 27 |  | D | 30 | Green Road | 25 | 22 | -3 | -12\% | 0.6 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 | Green Road | A | 31 | Southgreen Road | 13 | 12 | -1 | -8\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 |  | B | 33 | Friary Road | 22 | 31 | 9 | 41\% | 1.7 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 29 |  | C | 28 | Pigeon Lane | 21 | 16 | -5 | -24\% | 1.2 | Y | OK |


| VISSIM Node 102 MCC ID 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| MCC Site 03 - Southgreen Road / Bothairín na gCorp / Green Road / Car Park Access | A | 35 | Southgreen Road | B | 37 | Bothairin na gCorp | 8 | 11 | 3 | 38\% | 1.0 | $\gamma$ | OK |
|  | A | 35 |  | C | 32 | Green Road | 23 | 22 | -1 | -4\% | 0.2 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 38 |  | A | 36 | Southgreen Road | 4 | 6 | 2 | 50\% | 0.9 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 38 | Bothairin na gCorp | C | 32 | Green Road | 186 | 222 | 36 | 19\% | 2.5 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 31 | Green Road | A | 36 | Southgreen Road | 22 | 15 | -7 | -32\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 31 | Green Road | B | 37 | Bothairin na gCorp | 171 | 155 | -16 | -9\% | 1.3 | Y | OK |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Total Vehicles | 414 | 431 | 17 | 4\% |  |  |  |
| VISsim Node | 103 | MCC ID | 4 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| Junction |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 04 - Old Road(NNW) / Old Road(E) / Bothairin na gCorp | A | 39 | Old Road(NNW) |  |  |  | B | 41 | Old Road(E) | 8 | 9 | 1 | 13\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 39 |  | C | 38 | Bothairin na gCorp | 25 | 22 | -3 | -12\% | 0.6 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 42 | Old Road(E) | A | 40 | Old Road(NNW) | 12 | 15 | 3 | 25\% | 0.8 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 42 |  | C | 38 | Bothairin na gCorp | 183 | 206 | 23 | 13\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 37 | Bothairín na gCorp | A | 40 | Old Road(NNW) | 32 | 27 | -5 | -16\% | 0.9 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 37 |  | B | 41 | Old Road(E) | 154 | 139 | -15 | -10\% | 1.2 | Y | OK |


\section*{| Total Vehicles | 414 | 418 | 4 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |}

VISSIM Node $104 \quad$ MCC ID 5

|  | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| $\begin{gathered} \text { MCC Site } 05 \text { - Dunmurray } \\ \text { Road (R401)(NNW) } \\ \text { Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) / } \\ \text { Old Road } \end{gathered}$ | A | 57 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) |  |  |  | B | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | 138 | 141 | 3 | 2\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 57 |  | C | 42 | Old Road | 136 | 132 | -4 | -3\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | A | 58 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) | 135 | 188 | 53 | 39\% | 4.2 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 52 |  | C | 42 | Old Road | 88 | 88 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 41 | Old Road | A | 58 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(NNW) | 115 | 58 | -57 | -50\% | 6.1 | N | OK |
|  | c | 41 |  | B | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401)(SE) | 63 | 89 | 26 | 41\% | 3.0 | Y | OK |

VISSIM Node $105 \quad$ MCC ID

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 06 - Station Road (R415)(NNE) / Melitta Road / Station Road (R415)(SSW) / Dunmurray Road (R401) | A | 55 | Station Road <br> (R415)(NNE) |  |  |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 46 | 44 | -2 | -4\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 55 |  | C | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 198 | 159 | -39 | -20\% | 2.9 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 55 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 16 | 48 | 32 | 200\% | 5.7 | N | OK |
|  | B | 53 | Melitta Road | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 43 | 41 | -2 | -5\% | 0.3 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 53 |  | C | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 126 | 91 | -35 | -28\% | 3.4 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 53 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 134 | 167 | 33 | 25\% | 2.7 | Y | OK |
|  | C | 5 | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Station Road } \\ & \text { (R415)(SSW) } \end{aligned}$ | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 159 | 136 | -23 | -14\% | 1.9 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 162 | 163 | 1 | 1\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | D | 52 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | 76 | 62 | -14 | -18\% | 1.7 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 51 | Dunmurray Road (R401) | A | 56 | Station Road (R415)(NNE) | 35 | 63 | 28 | 80\% | 4.0 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 51 |  | B | 54 | Melitta Road | 89 | 88 | -1 | -1\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 51 |  | c | 6 | Station Road (R415)(SSW) | 82 | 83 | 1 | 1\% | 0.1 | Y | OK |

VISSIM Node $\quad 106 \quad$ MCC ID

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 07 - Bride Street(NE) / Market Square(SSE) / Bride Street(WSW) / Market Square(NW) | A | 6 | Bride Street(NE) |  |  |  | B | 7 | Market Square(SSE) | 135 | 117 | -18 | -13\% | 1.6 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 6 |  | C | 6 | Bride Street(WSW) | 248 | 212 | -36 | -15\% | 2.4 | Y | OK |
|  | A | 6 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 19 | 0 | -19 | -100\% | 6.2 | N | ок |
|  | B | 8 | Market Square(SSE) | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 153 | 197 | 44 | 29\% | 3.3 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 8 |  | C | 6 | Bride Street(WSW) | 7 | 1 | -6 | -86\% | 3.0 | Y | OK |
|  | B | 8 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 13 | 0 | -13 | -100\% | 5.1 | N | OK |
| Street(WSW) / Market Square(NW) | c | 5 | Bride Street(WSW) | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 251 | 166 | -85 | -34\% | 5.9 | N | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | B | 7 | Market Square(SSE) | 7 | 0 | -7 | -100\% | 3.7 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 5 |  | D | 46 | Market Square(NW) | 3 | 0 | -3 | -100\% | 2.4 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 45 |  | A | 5 | Bride Street(NE) | 25 | 0 | -25 | -100\% | 7.1 | N | OK |



| Total Venicles | 1070 | 876 | -194 | $-18 \%$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 108 & \text { MCC ID } & 9\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Pe | $k$ Time | Difiference |  | GEH |  | Individual |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCC Site 09 - White Abbey Road / Claregate Street / Academy Street Monasterevin Road (R445) |  |  | White Abbey Road |  |  | Street | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | < |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | Claregate Streel | 55 | 54 | -1 | -2\% | 0.1 | r | ок |
|  | A | 11 |  | c | 60 | Academy Street | 28 | 18 | -10 | -36\% | 2.1 | r | ок |
|  | A | 11 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 7 | 0 | -7 | -100\% | 3.7 | r | ок |
|  | B | 2 | Claregate Street | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 57 | 48 | -9 | -16\% | 1.2 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 2 |  | c | 60 | Academy Street | 12 | 0 | -12 | -100\% | 4.9 | r | ок |
|  | B | 2 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 325 | 242 | -83 | -26\% | 4.9 | r | ок |
|  | c | 59 | Academy Street | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 32 | 17 | -15 | -47\% | 3.0 | r | ок |
|  | c | 59 |  | B | 1 | Claregate Street | 15 | 0 | -15 | -100\% | 5.5 | N | ок |
|  | c | 59 |  | D | 13 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | 45 | 42 | -3 | -7\% | 0.5 | r | ок |
|  | D | 14 | Monasterevin Road (R445) | A | 12 | White Abbey Road | 30 | 0 | -30 | -100\% | 7.7 | N | ок |
|  | D | 14 |  | B | 1 | Claregate Street | 272 | 250 | -22 | -8\% | 1.4 | Y | ok |
|  | D | 14 |  | c | 60 | Academy Street |  |  |  |  |  | r | ок |


| Total Vehicles | 897 | 695 | -202 | $-23 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 109 & \text { MCC ID } & 10\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Pe | $k$ Time | Difiference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| MCC Site 10 - Bride Street(NNE) / Bride Street(SE)/ Grey AbbeyRoad / Cleamore Road | A | 67 | Bride Street(NNE) | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | $\stackrel{4}{4}$ |  |
|  | A | 67 |  | c | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 149 | 168 | -19 | - $13 \%$ | 1.6 | $r$ | OK |
|  | A | 67 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 10 | 0 | -10 | -100\% | 4.5 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 71 | Bride Street(SE) | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 37 | 43 | 6 | 16\% | 0.9 | Y | ок |
|  | B | 71 |  | c | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 98 | 78 | -20 | -20\% | 2.1 | r | ок |
|  | B | 71 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 25 | 15 | -10 | -40\% | 2.2 | r | ок |
|  | c | 68 | Grey Abbey Road | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 95 | 81 | -14 | -15\% | 1.5 | r | ок |
|  | c | 68 |  | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | 60 | 39 | -21 | -35\% | 3.0 | Y | ок |
|  | c | 68 |  | D | 59 | Cleamore Road | 5 | 1 | -4 | -80\% | 2.3 | Y | ок |
|  | D | 60 | Cleamore Road | A | 68 | Bride Street(NNE) | 11 | 0 | -11 | -100\% | 4.7 | r | ок |
|  | D | 60 |  | B | 70 | Bride Street(SE) | 32 | 16 | -16 | -50\% | 3.3 | r | ок |
|  | D | 60 |  | c | 67 | Grey Abbey Road | 28 | 27 | -1 | -4\% | 0.2 | r | ок |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 110 & \text { MCC ID } & 11\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Pe | $k$ Time | Difierence |  | GEH |  | IndividualFlows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| Road(NW) / Car Park Access / Meadow Road / Tully Road(SSE) | A | 70 | Tully Road(NW) |  |  |  | c | 65 | Meadow Road | 79 | 31 | -48 | -61\% | 6.5 | N | ок |
|  | A | 70 |  | D | 73 | Tuly Road(SSE) | 64 | 68 | 4 | 6\% | 0.5 | Y | OK |
|  | c | 66 | Meadow Road | A | 71 | Tully Road(NW) | 94 | 74 | -20 | -21\% | 2.2 | Y | ок |
|  | c | 66 |  | D | 73 | Tully Road(SSE) | 37 | 31 | -6 | -16\% | 1.0 | r | ок |
|  | D | 9 | Tully Road(SSE) | A | 71 | Tully Road(NW) | 62 | 62 | 0 | 0\% | 0.0 | Y | ок |
|  | D | 9 |  | c | 65 | Meadow Road | 21 | 19 | -2 | -10\% | 0.4 | r | ок |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 111 & \text { MCC ID } & 16\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow P | $k$ Time | Difiference |  | GEH |  | IndividualFvows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 16 - Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) / R415 / Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | A | 18 | Monasterevin Road(R445)(E) |  |  |  | B | 26 | R415 | 215 | 212 | -3 | -1\% | 0.2 | r | OK |
|  | A | 18 |  | c | 24 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | 277 | 284 | 7 | 3\% | 0.4 | r | OK |
|  | B | 25 | R415 | A | 19 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) | 224 | 230 | 6 | 3\% | 0.4 | r | ок |
|  | в | 25 |  | c | 24 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(W) | 72 | 71 | -1 | -1\% | 0.1 | Y | ок |
|  | c | 23 | $\underset{\substack{\text { Monasterevin Road } \\ \text { (R445)(W) }}}{\text { (W) }}$ | A | 19 | Monasterevin Road (R445)(E) | 192 | 195 | 3 | 2\% | 0.2 | r | ок |
|  | c | 23 |  | B | 26 | R415 | 38 | 39 | 1 | 3\% | 0.2 | r | ок |


| Total Vehicles | 1018 | 1031 | 13 | $1 \%$ |
| :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- | :--- |


| VISSIM Node $\quad 112 \quad$ MCC ID $\quad 17$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difference |  | GEH |  | Individual Flows |
| Junction |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | <5 |  |
| MCC Site 17 - R445(E) / | A | 16 | R445(E) |  |  |  | C | 18 | R445(W) | 408 | 494 | 86 | 21\% | 4.0 | Y | OK |
| Tesco Entrance / R445(W) | C | 17 | R445(W) | A | 15 | R445(E) | 349 | 424 | 75 | 21\% | 3.8 | Y | OK |

$\begin{array}{llll}\text { VISSIM Node } & 113 & \text { MCC ID } & 22\end{array}$

| Junction | From (Link) |  |  | To (Link) |  |  | Flow Peak Time |  | Difiference |  | GEH |  | IndividualFlows |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | Observed | Modelled | Value | \% | Value | 5 |  |
| MCC Site 22-Car Park Access / Magee Terrace / /R445(ESE)/ Meadow Road / R445(WNW) | c | 4 | R445(ESE) | D | 66 | Meadow Road | 116 | 105 | -11 | -9\% | 1.0 | Y | ок |
|  | c | 4 |  | E | 4 | R445(WNW) | 405 | 433 | 28 | 7\% | 1.4 | Y | ок |
|  | D | 65 | Meadow Road | c | 3 | R445(ESE) | 87 | 51 | -36 | -41\% | 4.3 | Y | OK |
|  | D | 65 |  | E | 4 | R445(WNW) | 16 | 0 | -16 | -100\% | 5.7 | N | ок |
|  | E | 3 | R445(WNW) | c | 3 | R445(ESE) | 286 | 327 | 41 | 14\% | 2.3 | Y | ок |
|  | E | 3 |  | D | 66 | Meadow Road | 15 | 0 | -15 | -100\% | 5.5 | N | ok |



## Appendix E VISSIM Validation Results

Journey Time Validation Results

| Appendix E - Kildare Journey Time Validation AECOM |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Project Name: <br> Project Number: <br> Subject: <br> Date: |  |  | Kildare Town VISSIM Modelling 60629806 <br> Kildare Journey Time Validation 27th May 2020 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| AM Peak 0800-0900 |  |  |  |  | Total |  | Count \% |  |  | PM Peak 1700-1800 |  |  | 0 Total |  |  | 56 Count |  | \% |  |
|  |  |  | Routes with JT difference less than 60 sec |  |  |  | 54 |  | 6\% |  |  |  | Routes with | th JT differenc | ce less than 6 | 60 sec | 54 | 96\% |  |
|  |  |  | Routes with JT difference less than 15\% |  |  |  | 49 |  | 8\% |  |  |  | Routes with | th JT differenc | ce less than |  | 51 | 91\% |  |
|  |  |  | Routes satisfying both the criteria |  |  |  | 49 |  | 8\% |  |  |  | Routes satisfying GEH criteria |  |  |  | 51 | 91\% |  |
|  |  |  | Routes satisfying GEH criteria |  |  |  | 56 |  | 0\% |  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 56 | 100\% |  |
|  |  |  |  | Modelled <br> Time | Observed <br> Time | ${ }_{\text {Mod-Obs }}^{\text {Diff }}$ | \%Diff |  |  | From | ID |  | $\begin{array}{l\|l}  & \text { Modelled } \\ \hline \text { JT No. } & \text { Time } \\ \hline \end{array}$ |  | Observed <br> Time | Mod-Obs Diff | \%Diff |  |  |
| From To | To | ID | JTNo. |  |  | Diff |  | GEH | Check |  |  |  | GEH |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  | 2112 515 | 1 | 1 232 <br> 2 357 | $\begin{aligned} & 209 \\ & 341 \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{aligned} & 24 \\ & 16 \end{aligned}$ | 11\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 Y \\ & 0.8 Y \\ & 0.8 Y \end{aligned}$ |  | $\begin{array}{r}1 \\ \hline\end{array}$ | 21_2 |  |  |  | 1 <br> 2 <br> 3 | 304 |  |  | -6\% | 1.0 |  |
| 1 |  | 6116 | 3 | $3 \quad 389$ | 372 | 17 | 4\% |  |  | 1 |  | 1_6 | 368 | 390 |  | -22 | -6\% | 1.1 |  |
| 1 |  | 7117 | 4 | 4400 | 348 | 53 | 15\% | $2.7{ }^{\text {r }}$ |  | 1 |  | 1_7 | 4 | 371 | 368 | - 2 | 1\% | 0.1 r |  |
| 1 |  | $81 \_8$ | 5 | $5 \quad 244$ | 224 | 20 | 9\% | 1.3 Y |  | 1 |  | 1_8 | 5 | 218 | 252 | -34 | -14\% | 2.2 r |  |
| 1 |  | 9 1_9 | 6 | $6 \quad 257$ | 232 | 25 | 11\% |  |  | 1 |  | 1_9 | 6 | 246 | 290 | -44 | -15\% | 2.7 |  |
| 1 |  | 21 _12 | 7 | 7100 | 90 | 10 | 11\% |  |  | 1 |  | 1_12 | 7 | 92 | 106 | -14 | -13\% | 1.4 |  |
| 2 |  | 12_1 | 8 | $8 \quad 237$ | 208 | 29 | 14\% | 1.0 Y |  | 2 |  | 2_1 | 8 | 245 | 213 | 32 | 15\% | $2.1{ }^{\mathrm{r}}$ |  |
| 2 |  | 52.5 | 9 | 9 271 | 304 | -33 | -11\% | $1.9{ }^{0} \mathrm{Y} \mathrm{Y}$ |  | 2 |  | 2 _5 | 9 | 241 | 288 | -47 | -16\% | 2.9 N |  |
| 2 |  | 62.6 | 10 | - 361 | 351 | 10 | 3\% |  |  | 2 |  | 2_6 | 10 | 327 | 349 | -22 | -6\% | 1.2 r |  |
| 2 |  | 72.7 | 11 | 1376 | 318 | 58 | 18\% | 3.1 N |  | 2 |  | 2_7 | 11 | 334 | 318 | 15 | 5\% | 0.8 |  |
| 2 |  | 82.8 | 12 | 232 | 244 | -11 | -5\% | ${ }_{0} 0.7 \mathrm{Y}$ |  | 2 |  | 28 | 12 | 220 | 244 | -24 | -10\% | 1.6 |  |
| 2 |  | 92.9 | 13 | 3243 | 249 | -6 | -2\% | $0.4 Y$ |  | 2 |  | 2_9 | 13 | 240 | 278 | -38 | -14\% | 2.4 |  |
| 2 |  | 22_12 | 14 | 4201 | 179 | 23 | 13\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.6 Y \\ & 0.2 Y \end{aligned}$ |  | 2 |  | 2_12 | 14 | 209 | 191 | 18 | 9\% | 1.3 |  |
| 5 |  | 15_1 | 15 | 5316 | 318 | -3 | -1\% |  |  | 5 |  | 5_1 | 15 | 319 | 322 | -3 | -1\% | 0.2 |  |
| 5 |  | 25_2 | 16 | - 261 | 287 | -26 | -9\% | $1.6{ }^{1}$ |  | 5 |  | 5_2 | 16 | 243 | 290 | -47 | -16\% | 2.9 N |  |
| 5 |  | 65_6 | 17 | 7322 | 381 | -59 | -15\% | $3.1 \begin{array}{r} \\ \\ \end{array}$ |  | 5 |  | 5_6 | 17 | 298 | 304 | -6 | -2\% | 0.4 |  |
| 5 |  | 75.7 | 18 | - 328 | 311 | 17 | 5\% | 0.9 r |  | 5 |  | 5_7 | 18 | 296 | 322 | -26 | -8\% | 1.5 |  |
| 5 |  | 858 | 19 | - 329 | 318 | 11 | 3\% | $0.6 Y$ |  | 5 |  | 5_8 | 19 | 282 | 326 | -45 | -14\% | 2.6 |  |
| 5 |  | 9 5_9 | 20 | - 334 | 366 | -32 | -9\% | $\begin{aligned} & 1.7 Y \\ & 0.2 Y \end{aligned}$ |  | 5 |  | 5_9 | 20 | 319 | 396 | -77 | -19\% | 4.1 N |  |
| 5 | 12 | 25_12 | 21 | 1 286 | 290 | -3 | -1\% |  |  | 5 | 12 | 5_12 | 21 | 294 | 302 | -8 | -3\% | 0.5 |  |
| 6 |  | 16_1 | 22 | 425 | 443 | -18 | -4\% | $0.9 Y$ |  | 6 |  | 6_1 | 22 | 439 | 391 | 48 | 12\% | 2.4 |  |
| 6 |  | 26 -2 | 23 | 390 | 336 | 54 | 16\% | $\begin{aligned} & 2.9 \mathrm{~N} \\ & 2.1 \\ & \mathrm{Y} \end{aligned}$ |  | 6 |  | 6_2 | 23 | 369 | 359 | 10 | 3\% | 0.5 |  |
| 6 |  | 56.5 | 24 | - 372 | 414 | -42 | -10\% |  |  | 6 |  | 6_5 | 24 | 343 | 339 | 4 | 1\% | 0.2 r |  |
| 6 |  | 767 | 25 | - 319 | 271 | 48 | 18\% | 2.8 N |  | 6 |  | 6_7 | 25 | 311 | 300 | 11 | 4\% | $0.6{ }^{r}$ |  |
| 6 |  | 8688 | 26 | - 319 | 277 | 42 | 15\% |  |  | 6 |  | 6_8 | 26 | 305 | 300 | - 5 | 2\% | 0.3 |  |
| 6 |  | 96.9 | 27 | - 357 | 323 | 34 | 11\% |  |  | 6 |  | 6_9 | 27 | 377 | 372 | 5 | 1\% | 0.3 |  |
| 6 |  | 26_12 | 28 | - 396 | 327 | 69 | 21\% | $3.6 \overline{\mathrm{~N}}$ |  | 6 |  | 6_12 | 28 | 417 | 381 | 36 | 9\% | 1.8 |  |
| 7 |  | 17_1 | 29 | - 378 | 368 | 11 | 3\% |  |  | 7 |  | 7_1 | 29 | 405 | 381 | 24 | 6\% | 1.2 r |  |
| 7 |  | 27_2 | 30 | 343 | 341 | 1 | 0\% |  |  | 7 |  | 7_2 | 30 | 337 | 335 | 3 | 1\% | 0.1 r |  |
| 7 |  | $57{ }_{7} 5$ | 31 | 1 299 | 354 | -56 | -16\% | 3.1 N |  | 7 |  | 7_5 | 31 | 302 | 339 | -37 | -11\% | $2.1{ }^{r}$ |  |
| 7 |  | 67 _6 | 32 | 268 | 309 | -41 | -13\% | $2.4 \%$ |  | 7 |  | 7_6 | 32 | 281 | 304 | -23 | -8\% | 1.3 r |  |
| 7 |  | 87 _8 | 33 | 263 | 289 | -26 | -9\% | $1.6 Y$ |  | 7 |  | 7_8 | 33 | 268 | 289 | -22 | -7\% | 1.3 Y |  |
| 7 |  | 97.9 | 34 | - 306 | 337 | -31 | -9\% |  |  | 7 |  | 7_9 | 34 | 325 | 354 | -29 | -8\% | 1.6 |  |
| 7 | 12 | 27_12 | 35 | - 345 | 339 | 6 | 2\% | 1.70.3$Y$ |  | 7 |  | 7_12 | 35 | 369 | 362 | - 7 | 2\% | 0.4 |  |
| 8 |  | 18_1 | 36 | - 240 | 217 | 22 | 10\% | $1.5 Y$ |  | 8 |  | 8_1 | 36 | 241 | 251 | -10 | -4\% | 0.7 r |  |
| 8 |  | 28 _2 | 37 | - 229 | 236 | -7 | -3\% |  |  | 8 |  | 8_2 | 37 | 223 | 261 | -37 | -14\% | 2.4 |  |
| 8 |  | 58.5 | 38 | - 313 | 339 | -26 | -8\% | \%0.5 <br> 1.5 |  | 8 |  | 8_5 | 38 | 308 | 329 | -21 | -6\% | 1.2 |  |
| 8 |  | 68 8 6 | 39 | - 270 | 293 | -23 | -8\% | 1.4 |  | 8 |  | 8_6 | 39 | 280 | 299 | -19 | -6\% | 1.12 |  |
| 8 |  | 78.7 | 40 | - 289 | 249 | 40 | 16\% |  |  | 8 |  | 8_7 | 40 | 291 | 254 | 37 | 15\% | $2.2 \begin{array}{r}\text { r }\end{array}$ |  |
| 8 |  | 98.9 | 41 | 118 | 119 | -2 | -2\% | ${ }^{2.4}$N <br> 0.2 |  | 8 |  | 8_9 | 41 | 117 | 155 | -38 | -24\% | 3.2 N |  |
| 8 | 12 | 28_12 | 42 | 206 | 188 | 18 | 9\% | 1.3 Y |  | 8 | 12 | 8_12 | 42 | 209 | 230 | -21 | -9\% | 1.4 |  |
| 9 |  | 19_1 | 43 | 3263 | 242 | 21 | 9\% |  |  | 9 |  | 9_1 | 43 | 266 | 262 | 4 | 2\% | 0.3 r |  |
| 9 |  | 29_2 | 44 | 4241 | 257 | -16 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9_2 | 44 | 240 | 268 | -28 | -10\% | 1.8 Y |  |
| 9 |  | 59.5 | 45 | 5341 | 384 | -42 | -11\% | $2.2 \gamma$ |  | 9 |  | 9_5 | 45 | 312 | 368 | -56 | -15\% | 3.0 |  |
| 9 |  | 69.6 | 46 | - 301 | 335 | -34 | -10\% | \% 1.9 r |  | 9 |  | 9_6 | 46 | 318 | 332 | -14 | -4\% | 0.8 |  |
| 9 |  | 79.7 | 47 | 7321 | 311 | 10 | 3\% | ${ }_{0}^{10.5} \mathrm{Y}$ |  | 9 |  | 9_7 | 47 | 337 | 309 | 27 | 9\% | 1.5 |  |
| 9 |  | 89_8 | 48 | 3123 | 131 | -8 | -6\%$9 \%$ | $0.7{ }^{\text {r }}$ |  | 9 |  | 9_8 | 48 | 123 | 140 | -17 | -12\% | 1.5 |  |
| 9 |  | 12912 | 49 | - 232 | 213 | 19 |  |  |  | 9 |  | 9_12 | 49 | 235 | 241 | -6 | -2\% | 0.4 |  |
| 12 |  | 112 _1 | 50 | - 99 | 89 | 10 | 11\% | \% 1.3 Y |  | 12 |  | 12_1 | 50 | 99 | 88 | 11 | 13\% | 1.2 r |  |
| 12 |  | 212_2 | 51 | 208 | 182 | 26 | 15\% | - ${ }_{0}^{1.9} \mathrm{O} Y$ |  | 12 |  | 12_2 | 51 | 200 | 194 | 5 | 3\% | 0.4 |  |
| 12 |  | 512.5 | 52 | 224 | 308 | 17 |  |  |  | 12 |  | 12.5 | 52 | 289 | 295 | -6 | -2\% | 0.3 |  |
| 12 |  | 612.6 | 53 | 3375 | 339 | 36 | 11\% | 1.93.3Y |  | 12 |  | 12_6 | 53 | 349 | 342 | 7 | 2\% | 0.4 |  |
| 12 |  | 712.7 | 54 | - 375 | 314 | 61 | 19\% |  |  | 12 |  | 127 | 54 | 347 | 319 | 28 | 9\% | 1.5 |  |
| 12 |  | 812.8 | 55 | - 224 | 226 | -2 | -1\% | ${ }_{0}^{0.2} \begin{aligned} & \text { Y Y } \\ & \end{aligned}$ |  | 12 |  | 12_8 | 55 | 201 | 217 | -16 | -7\% | 1.15 |  |
| 12 912_9 |  |  | 56 | - 214 | 221 | -6 | -3\% |  |  | 12 |  | 12_9 | 56 | 224 | 300 | -76 | -25\% | 4.7 N |  |
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## 1 Introduction

### 1.1 Introduction

AECOM was commissioned by Kildare County Council to provide assistance in the preparation of a Transport Strategy for Kildare Town. AECOM's role was to develop an understanding of the transport issues in Kildare Town and make recommendations on a strategic implementation plan of improvement measures and interventions in relation to walking, cycling, public transport, car parking and traffic movements.

To aid this cause, Kildare County Council have commissioned AECOM to develop a strategic model to inform the Council on the impact of their network improvements and planning proposals. This report outlines the methodology used to develop the future year traffic models, a description of the road options, the results of the option assessment and the impact of the combined roads strategy.

### 1.2 Base Year Traffic Modelling

A base VISUM model was created as part of the South Green and Northern Link Street project which was based on 2018 traffic conditions. The development of the model was informed by a series of surveys (JTCs, ATCs, O-D surveys and Journey Time surveys) and the process of the developing the base model is described in the the Kildare Town Northern Link and South Green Access - Traffic Modelling Report. The VISUM models are fixed demand models, which demonstrate the re-routing of traffic associated with changes to the network (e.g. increased capacity, greater congestion, etc). The Kildare Town Transport Strategy uses this 2018 base model due to the Covid-19 pandemic which meant that survey data could not be collected for 2020. The base model uses 2018 travel demand information to reflect pre-covid traffic conditions in the town, with the future year models assessing the post-covid pandemic situation when traffic levels have returned to normal and the town has grown.

### 1.3 Report Structure

The report takes the following structure:

- Chapter 2: Future Year Matrix Development;
- Chapter 3: Assessment of Road Options; and
- Chapter 4: Impact of Combined Roads Strategy


## 2 Future Year Matrix Development

This chapter details the development of the future year vehicular trip matrices used to inform the assessment of road options for the Kildare Town Transport Strategy. Matrices were developed for the years 2025 and 2035 using the demand matrices from the base year (2018) VISUM model.

The zone structure defined in the base year model, as shown in Figure 2.1, was retained in the future year demand matrices. As with the base year (2018) model development, separate demand matrices were created to represent the AM peak (08:00-09:00) and the PM peak (17:00-18:00) hours and these were further classified by Light and Heavy vehicle user classes.


Figure 2.1: VISUM Local Area Model - Zone Structure

### 2.1 Land-use \& Population / Jobs Targets

The future year population and job projections were created by the All-Island Research Observatory (AIRO) ${ }^{1}$, which is a spatial analysis and planning research unit operating in Maynooth University. Kildare County Council commissioned AIRO to complete this work to inform the development of the future year modelling scenarios. The original AIRO forecasts were examined by the KCC planning department and modified based on new information from planning applications, to create land-use scenarios 2 and 3.

Three future year land-use scenarios were considered for the development of future year matrices, in 2025; two scenarios were assessed to identify the most realistic approach to estimating growth in the town. The three scenarios were named as follows:

1. Scenario 1: Original AIRO 2025
2. Scenario 2: Modified AIRO 2025
3. Scenario 3: Modified AIRO 2035+
[^4]The population and jobs targets for each of these scenarios were provided by KCC in the form of a planning sheet, which projected the additional number of persons and jobs for each zone of the Local Area Model (LAM). In total, between 2016 and 2025, the town's population is projected to increase by 1,789, and 2,784 for Scenario 1 and Scenario 2 respectively, while for Scenario 3, the projected population growth was 4,620. In terms of jobs, 739 and 1,344 additional jobs were estimated to be generated by 2025 and 2035 respectively.

Scenario 2: Modified AIRO was ultimately selected as the preferred 2025 scenario because this scenario contained growth for the Phase 1 Magee Barracks development, which is likely to be completed by 2025, but was excluded from Scenario 1: Original AIRO 2025. As a result of this analysis, the Kildare future year VISUM models were created from Scenario: Modified AIRO for 2025 and Scenario 3: Modified AIRO for 2035.

### 2.2 Trip Forecasting

Population and jobs projections provided by KCC were used to forecast vehicular trips for zones within Kildare Town LAM. The origin and destination trip ends of the internal zones in the base year model were uplifted using the calculated population and job growth factors.

For the estimation of vehicular trips to/from external zones, link-based central growth rates provided in Table 5.3 of "Project Appraisal Guidelines for National Roads Unit 5.3 - Travel Demand Projections" were used to derive external traffic growth factors for the years 2025 and 2035. These growth factors as presented in Table 2.1, were multiplied with the base year trip ends of external zones to develop future year origin and destination trip ends for 2025 and 2035.

Table 2.12025 and 2035 External Zone Growth factors

| Model Year | Light Vehicle | Heavy Vehicle |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2025 | 1.102 | 1.178 |
| 2035 | 1.210 | 1.445 |

The final future year trip ends estimated after following the above process are presented in Table 2.2.
Table 2.2 Future year trip ends

| Model Year | AM Peak |  | PM Peak |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | Light Vehicle | Heavy Vehicle | Light Vehicle | Heavy Vehicle |
| Scenario 1 | 8,213 | 288 | 8,599 | 361 |
| Scenario 2 | 8,257 | 288 | 8,637 | 361 |
| Scenario 3 | 9,376 | 351 | 9,346 | 439 |

### 2.3 Allocation \& Distribution of Future Growth

With zone totals determined, the distribution of the origin and destination totals was carried out using the Furnessing distribution method, which is based on the reasonable assumption that in the forecast year, the pattern of trip making will remain substantially identical to those in the Base year, with the trip volumes increasing in line with the growth of both the origin and destination.

For proper distribution of trip ends, all the O-D cells with zero demand in the base year matrices were seeded with a very small number (0.01) so that they get populated with appropriate future year trips. Otherwise, the cells remain zero irrespective of the factor applied.

The final demand matrices were obtained by projecting the base year matrices using doublyconstrained projection in VISUM with the mean value of future year origin and destination trip ends used as matrix total for the iterative calculation.

## 3 Option Assessment

### 3.1 Overview

A key objective of the Kildare Town Transport Strategy is to reduce car dependency through improvements in public transport services and walking/cycling facilities throughout the town. The following five road objectives were defined at the outset of the transport strategy and have been used to guide the identification of roads options and their assessment:

1. Reduce unnecessary vehicular trips through Kildare town centre.
2. Mitigation measures to improve road safety and eliminate collision hotspots.
3. Reduce vehicular emissions in town centre by promoting mode transfer to sustainable travel modes.
4. Provide recommendations on the future road schemes required to meet capacity requirements and changing travel patterns.
5. Review existing road plans in the existing LAP to identify the most appropriate traffic solutions which will support the delivery of the multi-modal strategy.

Ten road options were proposed with the aim of meeting these objectives, and each of these options were tested using the 2025 and 2035 future year models.

### 3.2 Do Minimum Road Network

The roads strategy assumes that several committed roads schemes will be completed in the future and they form the Do-Minimum (DM) road network, which is described in Table 3.1. In the 2025 DM network, only Phase 1 of the Magee Barracks Roads is assumed to have been completed, while in the 2035 DM network; Phase 2 has been completed to link Melitta Road with the R445.

In some cases, elements of the DM network are already in place, such as the one-way system on Cleamore Road and the construction of the Dunmurray Link Road.

The Do-Minimum road network is assumed to be in place in every transport modelling scenario, with proposed road strategy options tested in addition to the DM network changes to assess their impact.

The Do-Minimum network is not assessed in the strategy because these interventions are regarded as a certainty. In combination, the DM network and the preferred road strategy measures, will form the future road network for Kildare Town.

Table 3.1 Do-Minimum Road Network in 2025 and 2035

Do-Minimum Road Network

- Phase 1 Magee Barracks Roads
- Dunmurray Link Road/South Internal East-West Link
- Modus link road
- Hospital Street to Tully Road link road
- One-way northbound system implemented on Cleamore Road
- Traffic signals at Southgreen Bridge
- Traffic signals at Pigeon Lane/Green Road junction
- Phase 1 and 2 Magee Barracks Roads
- Dunmurray Link Road/South Internal East-West Link
- Modus link road
- Hospital Street to Tully Road link road
- One-way northbound system implemented on Cleamore Road
- Traffic signals at Southgreen Bridge
- Traffic signals at Pigeon Lane/Green Road junction

The different elements of the DM road network are shown spatially in Figure 3.1.


Figure 3.1 Do-Minimum Road Network in 2035

### 3.3 Market Square Analysis

The first stage in the development of the road strategy involved determining which section of Market Square should be pedestrianised and closed to vehicle traffic to support the public realm proposals for the town centre. This section describes the two options that were considered; closing Bride Street or closing the eastern section of Market Square, and the results of the VISUM and VISSIM transport modelling used to inform the preferred solution.

### 3.3.1 Market Square Pedestrianisation Options

### 3.3.1.1 Bride Street Road Closure in Market Square

This option proposes closing the section of Bride Street which runs through Market Square to vehicle traffic to improve the public realm and allow for pedestrianisation (Figure 3.2). The eastern section of Market Square remains open to vehicle traffic in this option.


Figure 3.2 Bride Street Road Closure in Market Square

### 3.3.1.2 Closure of Eastern Section of Market Square to Vehicle Traffic

In this option, the eastern section of Market Square has been closed to vehicle traffic to improve the public realm and allow for pedestrianisation (Figure 3.3). This option includes a new pedestrian crossing point at the north of the square to allow for easy access between the pedestrianised east and west sections of Market Square across the R415.


Figure 3.3 Closure of the Eastern Section of Market Square

### 3.3.2 Market Square Pedestrianisation Transport Modelling Results

This section provides the VISUM transport modelling results for the two Market Square pedestrianisation options in 2025 and 2035 to inform the selection of the preferred solution.

### 3.3.2.1 VISUM Results: Bride Street Road Closure in Market Square

## 2025 Results

The closure of the section of Bride Street through Market Square was tested in 2025 and compared against the Do-Minimum scenario for the AM and PM peaks in the network statistics shown in Table 3.2. The AM difference plot is provided in Figure 3.4, which shows the difference in traffic volumes between the tested scenario and the Do-Minimum Scenario. An increase in traffic is shown as red links while a decrease in traffic is shown as green links.

The network statistics show that the closure of the section of Bride Street in Market Square has resulted in a slight increase in trip distance and travel time delay and a minor reduction in average speed. In the difference plot, it can be observed that traffic volumes have reduced on Bride Street and traffic is rerouting onto the eastern section of Market Square and the R445. To a certain extent, the closure of Bride Street is causing vehicle rerouting across the wider town centre road network with increased traffic volumes on Pigeon Lane and Old Road.

Table 3.2 Network statistics (Bride Street Closure Vs DM) - 2025

| Peak | Option | Total Network <br> Trips | Total <br> Network <br> Vehicle km | Total Network <br> Travel Time (hrs) | Average Network <br> Vehicle Speed (kph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM - 2025 | 8,545 | 77,024 | 1,394 | 55.26 |
|  | Bride St. Closure | 8,545 | 77,035 | 1,406 | 54.80 |
| PM Peak | DM - 2025 | 8,998 | 95,910 | 1,606 | 59.72 |
|  | Bride St. Closure | 8,998 | 95,940 | 1,608 | 59.70 |



Figure 3.4 Flow comparison (Bride Street Closure Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

## 2035 Results

The closure of the section of Bride Street through Market Square is tested in 2035 and compared against the Do-Minimum scenario for the AM and PM peaks in the network statistics shown in Table 3.3. The AM difference plot is provided in Figure 3.5, which shows the difference in traffic volumes between the tested scenario and the Do-Minimum Scenario.

The network statistics show that the closure of Bride Street in Market Square has resulted in a slight increase in trip distances and travel time delay. These negative impacts on traffic are marginal and they are not likely to have a significant impact on travel in the town centre in 2035 when the Magee Barracks Roads will provide an alternative north-south route. Compared to 2025 , the 2035 difference plots show that there is less traffic and rerouting of traffic across the wider network, due to the additional road infrastructure in place in 2035 relative to 2025.

Table 3.3 Network statistics (Bride Street Closure Vs DM) - 2035

| Peak | Option | Total <br> Network <br> Trips | Total Network <br> Vehicle km | Total Network <br> Travel Time (hrs) | Average Network <br> Vehicle Speed (kph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM- 2035 | 9,727 | 85,150 | 1,512 | 56.30 |
|  | Bride St. Closure | 9,727 | 85,224 | 1,517 | 56.20 |
| PM Peak | DM- 2035 | 9,785 | 104,939 | 1,734 | 60.50 |
|  | Bride St. Closure | 9,785 | 105,054 | 1,733 | 60.60 |



Figure 3.5 Flow comparison (Bride Street Closure Vs DM)- 2035 - AM Peak

### 3.3.2.2 VISUM Results: Closure of Eastern Section of Market Square

## 2025 Results

The closure of the eastern section of Market Square was tested in the 2025 traffic model and compared to the DM scenario in the AM and PM peaks in the network statistics shown in Table 3.4. A difference plot for the AM peak is shown in Figure 3.6. In respect to the network statistics, there is a marginal increase in total vehicle kilometres travelled, reflecting the slight rerouting caused by closing the eastern section of Market Square, this is also associated with a small increase in travel time delay. The difference plot shows that the impact on traffic routing is very localised, with most traffic switching from the eastern section of Market Square to Bride Street in Market Square.

Table 3.4 Network statistics (Closure of the Eastern Section of Market Square Vs DM) - 2025

| Peak | Option | Total <br> Network <br> Trips | Total Network <br> Vehicle km | Total Network <br> Travel Time <br> $(\mathrm{hrs})$ | Average Network <br> Vehicle Speed <br> $(\mathrm{kph})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM- 2025 | 8,545 | 77,024 | 1,394 | 55.26 |
| PM Peak | Eastern Market Sq. Closure | 8,545 | 77,104 | 1,395 | 55.30 |
|  | Eastern Market Sq. Closure | 8,998 | 95,910 | 1,606 | 59.72 |



Figure 3.6 Flow comparison (Eastern Market Square Closure Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

## 2035 Results

The closure of the eastern section of Market Square is tested in 2035 and compared to the DM scenario for the AM and PM peaks. The network statistics are shown in Table 3.5, with the flow difference plots shown in Figure 3.7 for the AM peak. This option results in a marginal reduction in average network speeds and a minor increase in travel time delay. In the AM peak, there is a slight increase in total vehicle km due to rerouting and a minor increase in delay in both time periods. The difference plots show that the impact is very localised, with traffic switching from the eastern section of Market Square to Bride Street.

Table 3.5 Network statistics (Eastern Market Square Closure Vs DM) - 2035

| Peak | Option | Total <br> Network <br> Trips | Total Network <br> Vehicle km | Total Network <br> Travel Time <br> $(\mathrm{hrs})$ | Average Network <br> Vehicle Speed <br> $(\mathrm{kph})$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| AM Peak | DM-2035 | 9,727 | 85,150 | 1,512 | 56.30 |


|  | Eastern Market Sq. Closure | 9,727 | 85,196 | 1,516 | 56.20 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| PM Peak | DM- 2035 | 9,785 | 104,939 | 1,734 | 60.50 |
|  | Eastern Market Sq. Closure | 9,785 | 104,921 | 1,736 | 60.40 |



Figure 3.7 Flow comparison (Eastern Market Square Closure Vs DM)- 2035 - AM Peak

### 3.3.2.3 Comparison of VISSIM Microsimulation Results

## 2025 Results

The closure of the Bride Street section or eastern section of Market Square was tested in the VISSIM microsimulation model which allows traffic flows and queues to be shown visually. In the 2025 AM period, Figure 3.8 shows the queuing around Market Square when the eastern section is closed to traffic while Figure 3.9 shows the same when the Bride Street section is closed to traffic.

The VISSIM modelling indicates that in both scenarios, there will be greater queuing when compared to the Do-Minimum Scenario because total junction capacity has been reduced through a road closure. However, when the two Market Square road closures are compared, it can be observed that the closure of the eastern side of the square results in longer queuing along the R445. In this scenario, all traffic must travel through a single crossroads junction and longer queues develop as vehicles must wait behind right-turning vehicles. Whereas, when the Bride Street section of Market Square is closed to traffic, vehicle demand is split between a signalised T-junction (Bride Street/R445) and a priority Tjunction (Market Square East/R445) and this operates more efficiently with shorter queues as illustrated in Figure 3.9.


Figure 3.8 VISSIM 2025 AM - Comparison of DM and Eastern Section of Market Sq. Closed to Traffic


Figure 3.9 VISSIM 2025 AM - Comparison of DM and Bride Street Section of Market Sq. Closed to Traffic

## 2035 Results

Figure 3.10 and Figure 3.11 show the same Market Square scenarios in the 2035 AM peak period in comparison to the Do-Minimum Scenario. These images show a similar theme to the results explained in 2025, with the Bride Street Road closure performing better with shorter queuing than the closure of the eastern side of the square. Due to growth in population and jobs, there are more trips occurring in 2035 than 2025 and this results in slightly longer queues in both scenarios.


Figure 3.10 VISSIM 2035 AM - Comparison of DM and Eastern Section of Market Sq. Closed to Traffic


Figure 3.11 VISSIM 2035 AM - Comparison of DM and Bride St. Section of Market Sq. Closed to Traffic

### 3.3.3 Conclusion of Market Square Assessment

### 3.3.3.1 Traffic Modelling and Policy Considerations

The VISUM results indicate that the negative impact on vehicular traffic from closing either side of Market Square is relatively modest in 2025 or 2035 with minor increases projected in trip distance and delay (due to vehicles rerouting). However, it is important to note that the VISUM network statistics cover the entire study area and the VISSIM results must be considered as well to understand the localised impact on the junctions in Market Square and the increase in queue lengths.

The VISSIM modelling considered the local impact of closing either side of Market Square on the R445/Bride Street junction in greater detail. It is important to acknowledge that a road closure in Market Square will reduce vehicle capacity and result in longer queuing than the existing situation. However, the VISSIM analysis highlighted that the closure of the eastern side of Market Square resulted in longer queuing of vehicles along the R445, Bride Street and through Market Square. Long queues of vehicles in Market Square will have a negative impact on the local area, as well as causing greater delays to residents and visitors to Kildare town centre. In comparison with this scenario, the closure of the Bride Street section of Market Square results in less queuing because vehicle demand is spread across two junctions which operate more efficiently.
The VISSIM modelling results clearly favour the closure of the Bride Street section of Market Square and this provides a strong justification for this side to be the preferred outcome of the assessment. However, wider policy priorities must also be considered as well as the implications for transport. In this regard, the recent pedestrianisation of the car park in the north-west of Market Square has been very successful, with this area now being used as a space where people can socialise, eat outside or attend the regular weekly market as shown in Figure 3.12. The closure of the Bride Street section of Market Square would allow for this pedestrianised space to be extended to Kildare Town Tourism Office, which would create a large pedestrian plaza in the centre of the town which could be used for a larger market, outdoor dining and cultural events. This plaza would enhance the public realm of the town centre and strengthen the appeal of visiting Kildare town for retail, social or tourism activities. In contrast with this, the closure of the eastern side of Market Square would create two small plazas, divided by traffic on Bride Street, which would be a less useful space for holding markets or cultural events and provide weaker public realm improvements.


Figure 3.12 Pedestrianised Space in North-West of Market Square

### 3.3.3.2 Preferred Road Closure in Market Square

On the basis of the transport and public rationale outlined in Section 3.3.3.1, it has been determined that Bride Street is the preferred road closure in Market Square in the long term. In the Kildare Town Transport Strategy, the closure of the Bride Street section is proposed as a medium-long term measure in the roads strategy (RD 3) which will require the completion of the Northern Link Street and Magee Barracks Roads prior to implementation. The provision of the Magee Barracks Roads and the Northern

Link Street will ensure that there is an alternative north-south route for traffic and HGVs once Bride Street has been closed in Market Square. Kildare County Council will take steps to ensure the safety of pedestrians and cyclists at the eastern section of Market Square once traffic is diverted from Bride Street. This will involve the redesign of the eastern side of Market Square junction to increase its capacity to cater for greater vehicle volumes and to ensure the safety of pedestrians and cyclists.

However, in the short to medium term until the Magee Barracks Roads and the Northern Link Street are in place, there is an opportunity to implement temporary road closures on the eastern side of Market Square to enhance the overall public realm offering of the square. These temporary road closures may be implemented at weekends or for longer periods. The temporary closure of the eastern side of the square to traffic, for instance at the weekends, will create a new space for outdoor seating, public events and socialising. This will provide a benefit to the town centre in the short term, prior to the implementation of the Bride Street road closure, in line with measure RD 3 of the transport strategy, and the permanent reopening of the east side of Market Square to traffic in the medium-long term.

### 3.4 Road Options Description

In Section 3.3, it was determined that the Bride Street section of Market Square should be closed to traffic to facilitate public realm improvements. Following this, a number of road options were created to improve traffic conditions across Kildare Town. The majority of these options are tested in the VISUM transport model to assess their impact on the road network in Kildare and inform the option assessment process.

### 3.4.1 Option 1: Closure of Old Road Bridge and Replace Southgreen Bridge

In this option, Old Road Bridge is closed to motor vehicle traffic to facilitate access for pedestrians and cyclists. In addition to this, the traffic signals are removed on Southgreen bridge and the bridge is replaced to accommodate two-way traffic as well as improvements to the approach roads.

The replacement of Southgreen bridge and the improvements to approach roads are required to provide additional capacity to facilitate access to the new housing areas zoned in the north-west of the town and to improve safety.
The replacement of Southgreen bridge will also increase capacity on this road to accommodate the vehicles rerouted from Old Road Bridge once it is closed. The closure of Old Road Bridge will provide for a high-quality walking and cycling link between the town and the main future development areas in the north-west. The closure of Old Road Bridge is integrated into the cycling and walking network strategies to deliver improvements for active modes along this corridor. The location of Old Road Bridge and Southgreen Bridge is shown in Figure 3.13.


Figure 3.13 Option 1: Closure of Old Road Bridge and Replacement of Southgreen Bridge

### 3.4.2 Option 2: Northern Link Street

In this option, a new link road is proposed from the R445 to the junction of Green Road and Pigeon lane, with the local roads improved along the route until the junction with the R415 as shown in Figure 3.14. The existing junction between Green Road and Pigeon Lane will be redesigned to accommodate the new road. To complement the new road, a one-way system is proposed for Friary Road/White Abbey Road (southbound) and Pigeon Lane (northbound). Other improvements include a new access to the GAA pitches and the implementation of local access only arrangements on a number of roads.


Figure 3.14 Option 2: Northern Link Street

### 3.4.3 Option 3: Bride Street Road Closure and One-Way System

In this option, Bride Street becomes a one-way road (southbound only) from the junction with Bang-Up Lane to the junction with Cleamore Road as shown in Figure 3.15. Furthermore, this option involves the closure of Bride Street to motorised traffic between the R445 and Bang Up Lane as well as the closure of the eastern section of Market Square to vehicles. The closure of the southern section of Bride Street and the eastern section of Market Square would facilitate public realm improvements and pedestrianisation.


Figure 3.15 Option 3: Bride Street Road Closure and One-Way System

### 3.4.4 Option 4: Bride Street Southbound One-way System

In this option, Bride Street is made a one-way road (southbound only) from the junction with the R445 to the junction with Cleamore Road, as shown in Figure 3.16. Reducing vehicle traffic along this street would allow for measures to enhance the public realm and expand pedestrian facilities.


Figure 3.16 Option 4: Bride Street Southbound One-way System

### 3.4.5 Option 5: One-Way Northbound System on Bride Street

In this option, a northbound one-way system is implemented on Bride Street between the R445 and Bang Up Lane, as shown in Figure 3.17. The section of Bride Street to the south of Bang Up Lane remains two way to facilitate access to the Bride Street off-street car park which may be upgraded as part of the parking strategy.


Figure 3.17 Option 5: One-Way Northbound System on Bride Street

### 3.4.6 Option 6: One-Way Westbound System on Meadow Road

In this option, a one-way westbound system is implemented on Meadow Road to reduce traffic volumes and facilitate improvements to the infrastructure for active modes (Figure 3.18).


Figure 3.18 Option 6: One-Way Westbound System on Meadow Road

### 3.4.7 Option 7: Upgrade Signalised Junctions to MOVA or SCOOT as Appropriate

This option would upgrade signalised junctions to MOVA or SCOOT as appropriate to improve traffic flow and improve the safety of pedestrians, cyclists and vulnerable road users through the implementation of Intelligent Transport Solutions technology. This option has not been assessed in the transport model.

### 3.4.8 Option 8: Bride Street to Melitta Road One-Way Northbound System

In this option, a northbound one-way system is implemented from Bride Street to Melitta Road to reduce traffic volumes on the corridor and facilitate public realm improvements. The extent of the one-way system is shown in Figure 3.19.


Figure 3.19 Option 8: Bride Street to Melitta Road One-Way Northbound System

### 3.4.9 Option 9: Northern Clockwise One-Way Loop System on R401 and R415

In this option, a clockwise one-way loop system is implemented on the R401 and R415 as shown in Figure 3.20. This option would allow for the signalised shuttle systems to be removed on the two bridges over the railway line.


Figure 3.20 Option 9: Northern Clockwise One-Way Loop System on R401 and R415

### 3.4.10 Option 10: Town Centre Clockwise One-Way Loop System

In this option, a clockwise one-way loop system is implemented in the central areas of Kildare Town as shown in Figure 3.21. This one-way system would reduce traffic flows on Bride Street, Market Square, Meadow Road, Cleamore Road, Pigeon Lane and the R401; to facilitate public realm improvements.


Figure 3.21 Option 10: Town Centre Clockwise One-Way Loop System

### 3.5 Roads Option Assessment

This section describes the results of the strategic transport modelling (VISUM) assessment of the potential options. In this section, difference plots from the VISUM modelling are presented for each DoSomething (DS) option and a summary of network statistics across all options is provided. A difference plot shows the change in traffic volumes when the option is implemented, in comparison with the DoMinimum Scenario. In the difference plots, green indicates a reduction in traffic on the link as a result of implementing the option while red represents an increase in traffic on the link.

### 3.5.1 Option 1: Closure of Old Road Bridge and Replace Southgreen Bridge

Option 1 contains two elements, the closure of Old Road Bridge and the replacement of Southgreen Bridge to accommodate two-way traffic. To avoid the closure of Old Road Bridge having undue influence on the difference plot results, a variation of the DM scenario ('Alternative DM Scenario') is used which includes the closure of Old Road Bridge so that the comparison between the DS and DM scenarios focuses on the impact of upgrading Southgreen Bridge.

Option 1 was compared against this Alternative DM Scenario in 2025 for the AM peak and the flow difference plot is shown in Figure 3.22. This difference plot shows that some traffic has transferred onto Southgreen Road from the Dunmurray Road since the bridge has been made two-way.


Figure 3.22 Flow comparison (Option 1 Vs DM Special Scenario) - 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.2 Option 2: Northern Link Street

Option 2 was compared against the Do-Minimum scenario for 2025 for the AM peak and the difference plot is shown in Figure 3.23. The difference plot highlights that the introduction of the Northern Link Street has reduced traffic volumes on the R445 and in the town centre, by facilitating traffic movements between the west and north of the town without the need to travel through central areas.


Figure 3.23 Flow comparison (Option 2 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.3 Option 3: Bride Street Road Closure and One-Way System

Option 3 was compared against the Do-Minimum scenario for 2025 in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.24. Due to the road closure and one-way system introduced in this option, total vehicle km has increased as traffic has to reroute, with the difference plot showing that traffic has moved from Bride Street to Bang Up Lane and Meadow Road. The increase in traffic volumes on Bang Up Lane and Meadow Road is concerning as these are low capacity routes which cannot cater for a large number of additional vehicles.


Figure 3.24 Flow comparison (Option 3 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.4 Option 4: Bride Street Southbound One-Way System

Option 4 is tested in 2025 against the Do-Minimum scenario in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.25. The difference plot highlights a substantial increase in traffic on the eastern section of Market Square, the R445 and Meadow Road. Public realm improvements are planned for Market Square and increasing traffic volumes through this area may be an issue. Higher traffic volumes on Meadow Road, which is a narrow street with limited capacity, would also be a problem.


Figure 3.25 Flow comparison (Option 4 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.5 Option 5: One-Way Northbound System on Bride Street

Option 5 is tested in 2025 against the DM scenario for the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.26. Traffic volumes on Bride Street have reduced slightly along the route, with a significant shift in traffic from Bride Street onto Bang Up Lane for southbound traffic.


Figure 3.26 Flow comparison (Option 5 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak
Bang Up Lane is a very low capacity street, as can be observed in Figure 3.27, and diverting large volumes of traffic onto this street may be problematic in respect to safety and congestion.


Figure 3.27 Bang Up Lane in Kildare Town (Source: Google)

### 3.5.6 Option 6: One-Way Westbound System on Meadow Road

Option 6 was tested in the 2025 scenario in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.28. The introduction of a one-way westbound system on Meadow Road has meant that a certain amount of traffic was rerouted onto the Hospital Street-Tully Road link to the south.


Figure 3.28 Flow comparison (Option 6 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak
An alternative scenario was tested in the 2025 AM peak where the one-way system on Meadow Road is implemented without the creation of the Hospital Street - Tully Road link road. Without this alternative route in place, traffic either diverts via the R445 and Bride Street which increases traffic in the town centre, or takes a longer circuitous route to the south-east of the town via the L3006 and L7024.


Figure 3.29 Flow Comparison of Alternative Option 6 Scenario without Hospital Street - Tully Road link road in place in AM Peak 2025

### 3.5.7 Option 8: Bride Street to Melitta Road One-Way Northbound System

Option 8 was tested in the 2025 scenario in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.30. Due to the lack of alternative north-south routes through the town in 2025 , significant diversion of southbound traffic from Bride Street has led to a substantial increase in traffic volumes on Old Road and Green Road to the west, and a low capacity lane on the eastern periphery of the town.


Figure 3.30 Flow comparison (Option 8 Vs DM) - 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.8 Option 9: Northern Clockwise One-Way Loop System on R401 and R415

Option 9 was tested in the 2025 scenario in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.31. The introduction of a large one-way system in the north of the town has reduced traffic volumes on the roads where the one-way system has been implemented, but it has also caused a significant amount of traffic to reroute onto surrounding roads. For instance, there has been a large increase in motor vehicle volumes on Old Road to the west of the R401 and to the east; traffic has diverted from Bride Street onto a narrow lane located on the eastern periphery of the town which has low capacity.


Figure 3.31 Flow comparison (Option 9 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.9 Option 10: Town Centre Clockwise One-Way Loop System

Option 10 was tested in the 2025 scenario in the AM peak with the flow difference plot shown in Figure 3.32. The introduction of a large one-way loop system in the town centre has caused a major redistribution of traffic to alternative routes. In particular, a large volume of traffic has been rerouted to the east onto a minor lane due to the lack of north-south alternatives to the R415 which may cause safety issues as this is a low capacity lane. Furthermore, traffic has increased on the Hospital StreetTully Road link road in the south of the town which will increase vehicle volumes through a residential housing estate.


Figure 3.32 Flow comparison (Option 10 Vs DM)- 2025 - AM Peak

### 3.5.10 VISUM Network Statistics

Table 3.6 provides a summary of the network statistics in 2025 across the AM and PM peaks. The most beneficial option presented in the network statistics is the Northern Link Street because this is the only option which involves the construction of new road. The Northern Link Street results show that this road produces a reduction in vehicle distance and delay, with a slight increase in average network speed.

Option 1, the replacement of Southgreen Bridge, results in a small increase in vehicle kilometres due to the rerouting caused by closing Old Road Bridge to facilitate active mode improvements, but there is minimal impact on vehicle delay or speeds. Similarly, the one-way system on Meadow Road (Option 6) also has a minor impact on the network statistics and is designed to facilitate pedestrian and cyclist improvements.

While the network statistics for Options 3-5, which test various one-way systems and road closures on Bride Street, are not particularly negative, the difference plots have highlighted that they will divert vehicles onto roads which are less suitable for carrying high volumes of traffic. Options 8-10 which test a series of one-way systems in the centre and north of the town, all result in longer trip distances and delay from rerouting of traffic and lower vehicle speeds. This is particularly the case for Option 9 (Northern One Way Loop System) in the AM peak, which results in major travel time delays across the network, lower average vehicle speeds and much longer trips from rerouting.

| AM Peak |  |  |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Model | Total Network Trips | Total Network Vehicle km | Total Network Travel Time (hrs) | Average Network Vehicle Speed (kph) |
| DM 2025 | 8,545 | 77,024 | 1,394 | 55.26 |
| Option 1: Replace Southgreen Bridge | 8,545 | 77,083 | 1,394 | 55.30 |
| Option 2: Northern Link Street | 8,545 | 76,965 | 1,376 | 55.94 |
| Option 3: Bride Street Road Closure and One-Way System | 8,545 | 77,311 | 1,408 | 54.90 |
| Option 4: Bride Street Southbound One-Way System | 8,545 | 77,295 | 1,406 | 55.00 |
| Option 5: Bride Street One-Way Northbound System | 8,545 | 77,017 | 1,395 | 55.20 |
| Option 6: One-Way Westbound on Meadow Road | 8,545 | 77,056 | 1,395 | 55.30 |
| Option 8: Bride Street to Melitta Road One-Way Northbound System | 8,545 | 77,573 | 1,450 | 53.49 |
| Option 9: Northern Clockwise One-Way Loop System on R401 and R415 | 8,545 | 80,408 | 1,684 | 47.74 |
| Option 10: Town Centre Clockwise One-Way Loop System | 8,545 | 77,584 | 1,416 | 54.78 |
| PM Peak |  |  |  |  |
| DM 2025 | 8,998 | 95,910 | 1,606 | 59.72 |
| Option 1: Replace Southgreen Bridge | 8,998 | 96,048 | 1,606 | 59.82 |
| Option 2: Northern Link Street | 8,998 | 95,637 | 1,591 | 60.13 |
| Option 3: Bride Street Road Closure and One-Way System | 8,998 | 95,905 | 1,611 | 59.52 |
| Option 4: Bride Street Southbound One-Way System | 8,998 | 95,919 | 1,620 | 59.20 |
| Option 5: Bride Street One-Way Northbound System | 8,998 | 95,850 | 1,608 | 59.60 |
| Option 6: One-Way Westbound on Meadow Road | 8,998 | 95,939 | 1,606 | 59.70 |
| Option 8: Bride Street to Melitta Road One-Way Northbound System | 8,998 | 96,568 | 1,622 | 59.54 |
| Option 9: Northern Clockwise One-Way Loop System on R401 and R415 | 8,998 | 97,381 | 1,635 | 59.57 |
| Option 10: Town Centre Clockwise One-Way Loop System | 8,998 | 96,759 | 1,630 | 59.38 |

### 3.6 Preferred Road Transport Measures

### 3.6.1 Road Strategy Measures

In the development of the transport strategy, it was determined that the Bride Street section of Market Square should be closed to vehicular traffic to improve the public realm. In addition to this measure, the MCA and transport modelling assessment (in Section 9.7 of the Kildare Town Transport Strategy Volume 1) identified four additional options which will form the preferred suite of road measures in the transport strategy. The road strategy consists of the following measures:

- Option 1: Closure of old road bridge to vehicular traffic and the replacement of Southgreen bridge to facilitate two-way traffic with improvements to approach roads (Road Measure 1)
- Option 2: Construction of Northern Link Street (Road Measure 2)
- Closure of Bride Street section of Market Square to vehicular traffic (Road Measure 3)
- Option 6: One-way westbound system on Meadow Road (Road Measure 4)
- Option 7: Upgrade signalised junctions to MOVA or SCOOT as appropriate (Road Measure 5)

The measures which form the road transport strategy are shown visually in Figure 3.33, except for RD 5 because the precise traffic signals to be upgraded will be identified in a detailed study at a later date.


Figure 3.33 Road Strategy Measures
Figure 3.34 shows the Kildare Town Road Strategy Measures in combination with the Do-Minimum Road Network to provide an overview of the future changes to the road network in Kildare Town.


Figure 3.34 Future Road Network- Combined DM Network and Road Strategy Measures

### 3.6.2 Phasing of Road Strategy Measures

The preferred road options have been renamed as road measures for the strategy. Each of the road measures is phased as short, medium or long term in respect to implementation. The phasing of each of the road measures is outlined in Table 3.7.

Table 3.7 Phasing of Road Measures

|  |  |  | Delivery Timeframe |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Option | Measure | Short Description | Short Term <br> (1-2 Years) | Medium Term (3-5 Years) | Long Term (6-10 Years) |
| Option 1 | RD 1 | Closure of Old Road Bridge to vehicular traffic and the upgrade of Southgreen bridge to two-way traffic with improvements to approach roads |  | x |  |
| Option 2 | RD 2 | Construction of Northern Link Street |  | x |  |
| Option <br> N/A | RD 3 | Closure of Bride Street section of Market Square to vehicular traffic (linked to development of Magee Barracks Roads) |  | x | x |
| Option 6 | RD 4 | One-way system on Meadow Road running east to west | x |  |  |
| Option 7 | RD 5 | Upgrade signalised junctions to MOVA or SCOOT as appropriate | x | x |  |

## 4 Impact of Combined Road Strategy

In this section, the combined Roads Strategy is tested in the future year VISUM transport models to assess the impact on the Kildare Town transport network. Table 4.1 shows the road strategy measures which are included in the 2025 and 2035 scenarios, with RD 3 (Bride Street Market Square closure) added in 2035 when the Magee Barracks Roads are in place.

Table 4.1 Road Measures Included in 2025 and 2035 Scenario

| Measure | Short Description | 2025 Scenario | 2035 Scenario |
| :---: | :--- | :---: | :---: |
| RD 1 | Closure of Old Road Bridge to vehicular traffic <br> and the upgrade of Southgreen bridge to two- <br> way traffic with improvements to approach roads | x | x |
| RD 2 | Construction of Northern Link Street | x | x |
| RD 3 | Closure of Bride Street section of Market Square <br> to vehicular traffic (linked to the development of <br> Magee Barracks Roads) | x | x |
| RD 4 | One-way system on Meadow Road running east <br> to west | N/A - Not Modelled |  |
| RD 5 | Upgrade signalised junctions to MOVA or <br> SCOOT as appropriate | x |  |

### 4.1 2025 VISUM Scenario

### 4.1.1 Network Statistics

The combined road strategy was compared against the DM 2025 scenario and the network statistics are presented in Table 4.2. It can be observed that the combined road strategy results in a slight increase in vehicle speeds in the AM and PM peak as well as a slight reduction in travel time delay.

Table 4.2 Network statistics - Combined Roads Strategy - 2025

| Peak | Option | Total <br> Network <br> Trips | Total <br> Network <br> Vehicle km | Total <br> Network <br> Travel Time <br> (hrs) | Average <br> Network <br> Vehicle <br> Speed (kph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM- 2025 | 8,545 | 77,024 | 1,394 | 55.26 |
| PM | Combined Road Strategy | 8,545 | 77,093 | 1,382 | 55.80 |
|  | Combined Road Strategy | 8,998 | 95,910 | 1,606 | 59.72 |

### 4.1.2 Difference Plots

Difference plots comparing the combined roads strategy with the 2025 DM scenario are provided in Figure 4.1 for the AM peak and Figure 4.2 for the PM peak. In these plots, the red bars represent an increase in traffic, whilst the green bars represent a decrease in flow. The width of the bars indicates the magnitude of change in traffic flow.

The most notable difference was observed along two sections i.e. Southgreen road to which the majority of the Old Road traffic was reassigned after the closure of the Old road bridge, and the North link street introduction of which provided a more direct route to access north and western region of the Kildare town.


Figure 4.1 Combined Road Strategy vs DM Scenario 2025 - AM Peak


Figure 4.2 Combined Road Strategy vs DM Scenario 2025 - PM Peak

### 4.1.3 Traffic Flow Plots

The peak hour traffic flow plots for the combined roads strategy were extracted from the VISUM model and are presented in subsequent figures Figure 4.3 and Figure 4.4. In these plots, the width of the green bars indicates the magnitude of the traffic flow.

In these figures, it can be observed that the highest east-west traffic flows through the town are on the R445, but the provision of the Northern Link Street has provided for an alternative key east-west route via Melitta Road which is also carrying a substantial amount of traffic. North-south traffic also makes use of the new Northern Link Street to avoid the town centre via a route which uses the following roads: Dunmurray Road-Dunmurray Link Road-Southgreen Road, Northern Link Street and the R415. However, a substantial amount of north-south traffic is still travelling via Market Square on Station Road. Other new roads such as the Modus Link Road or the Hospital Street - Tully Link Road are also attracting a considerable amount of traffic flow, showing their value in improving the town road network.


Figure 4.3 Traffic flow plot Combined Road Strategy 2025 - AM Peak


Figure 4.4 Traffic flow plot Combined Road Strategy 2025 - PM Peak

### 4.1.4 Junction Turning Movements

The turning movement volumes for major junctions in the combined road strategy were extracted from the VISUM model for both AM and PM peak hours. The locations of these junctions along with the corresponding turning flows are presented in subsequent figures Figure 4.5 to Figure 4.15.

The junctions with the highest turning movements and through-traffic are Junction 1 (Northern Link Street-R445), Junction 3 (Kildare Retail Village access), Junction 6 (Melitta Road/Station Road) and Junction 7 (R445/Bride Street). The retail village is a major trip attractor and it is unsurprising that this junction records a high number of turning vehicles. The other busy junctions are located along the Northern Link Street, R445 and Melitta Road which form the main east-west routes through the town, as noted in the traffic flow plots, and these junctions will cater for higher volumes of vehicles as a result.


Figure 4.5 Junction Location Map 2025 Combined Road Strategy


Figure 4.6 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 1


Figure 4.7 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 2


Figure 4.8 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 3


Figure 4.9 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 4


Figure 4.10 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 5


Figure 4.11 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 6


Figure 4.12 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 7


Figure 4.13 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 8


Figure 4.14 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 9


Figure 4.15 Turning Flow diagram Junction 10

### 4.1.5 Queue Length Plots

From the previously shown junctions, queue lengths for a few critical signalised junctions which were directly affected by the combined road strategy were extracted. The location of these junctions along with their corresponding queue lengths are presented in subsequent figures Figure 4.16 to Figure 4.24.


Figure 4.16: Junction Iocation Map for 2025 Combined Road Strategy


Figure 4.17 Queue Lengths at Junction 2


2025 Combined DS Strategy Average Queue Length (m)
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PM Peak
Figure 4.18 Queue Lengths at Junction 6


Figure 4.19 Queue Lengths at Junction 7


2025 Combined DS Strategy Average Queue Length ( m )

- PM Peak

Figure 4.20 Queue Lengths at Junction 13


Figure 4.21 Queue Lengths at Junction 14


Figure 4.22 Queue Lengths at Junction 15


Figure 4.23 Queue Lengths at Junction 16


Figure 4.24 Queue Lengths at Junction 17
These figures show that the queue lengths at each of the junctions, in most cases, are relatively short and do not lead to significant spillback to upstream junctions.

### 4.22035 VISUM Scenario

### 4.2.1 Network Statistics

The combined road strategy was compared against the DM 2035 scenario and the network statistics are presented in Table 4.3. It can be observed that the combined road strategy results in a slight increase in vehicle speeds in the AM and PM peak as well as a slight reduction in travel time delay. In the 2035 scenario, the Kildare town transport network has improved with the opening of Phase 2 of the Magee Barracks Road which provides a bypass of the town centre for the east of the town.

Table 4.3 Network statistics - Combined Roads Strategy - 2035

| Peak | Option | Total <br> Network <br> Trips | Total <br> Network <br> Vehicle km | Total <br> Network <br> Travel Time <br> (hrs) | Average <br> Network <br> Vehicle <br> Speed (kph) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | DM- 2035 | 9,727 | 85,150 | 1,512 | 56.30 |
|  | Combined Road Strategy | 9,727 | 85,226 | 1,501 | 56.80 |
| PM | DM- 2035 | 9,785 | 104,939 | 1,734 | 60.50 |
|  | Combined Road Strategy | 9,785 | 104,898 | 1,718 | 61.05 |

### 4.2.2 Difference Plots

Difference plots comparing the combined roads strategy with the 2035 DM scenario are provided in Figure 4.25 for the AM peak and Figure 4.26 for the PM peak. Unsurprisingly, traffic flow has reduced significiantly on roads where traffic restrictions have been introduced such as Old Road, Mead ow Road, Pigeon Lane, White Abbey Road and Bride Street in Market Square. In the west of the town, a substantial volume of traffic has diverted onto the Northern Link Street which provides an alterative route to the

R445 and allows traffic to avoid travelling through the town centre. Also, Southgreen Road is accommodating larger volumes of traffic now that a two-way bridge has been implemented and Old Road Bridge has been closed to traffic. In the town centre, the closure of Bride Street has resulted in more traffic travelling via the eastern side of Market Square, with a slight reduction in traffic volumes on Station Road. Furthermore, the creation of a one-way westbound system on Meadow Road has resulted in a certain amount of traffic diverting onto the R445 for eastbound trips.


Figure 4.25 Flow comparison (Combined Road Strategy Vs DM)- 2035 - AM Peak


Figure 4.26 Flow comparison (Combined Road Strategy Vs DM)- 2035 - PM Peak

### 4.2.3 Traffic Flow Plots

Traffic flow plots presenting the traffic flow for the combined roads strategy for the 2035 DS scenario are provided in Figure 4.27 for the AM peak and Figure 4.28 for the PM peak. The figures show a similar theme to 2025 in some respects, with Northern Link Street forming part of one of the main north-south routes via Southgreen Road and the Dunmurray Road. The addition of the Magee Barracks Roads in 2035 has created another north-south route to the east of the town in combination with station road. To the south of the town, a certain amount of M7 traffic is avoiding the town centre by travelling south of the town along the Japanese Gardens Road and the Hospital Street - Tully Road link road to reach the east of Kildare town.


Figure 4.27 Traffic flow plot Combined Road Strategy 2035 - AM Peak


Figure 4.28 Traffic flow plot Combined Road Strategy 2035 - PM Peak

### 4.2.4 Junction Turning Movements

The turning movement volumes for major junctions were extracted from the 2035 combined road strategy VISUM model for both AM and PM peak hours. The locations of these junctions along with the corresponding turning flows are presented in the subsequent figures Figure 4.29 to Figure 4.41. These figures show similar results to 2025, with Junction 1 (Northern Link Street/R445), Junction 3 (Retail Village Entrance), Junction 6 (Melitta Road/Station Road) and Junction 7 (R445/Bride Street) showing the highest volumes of turning vehicles and through-traffic. However, there are some differences in 2035, such as the closure of Bride Street in Market Square which has resulted in a busier Junction 8 at the eastern side of Market Square. Furthermore, the completion of the Magee Barracks Roads has resulted in a large number of turning movements at Junction 9 (Magee Barracks Roads south/R445) and Junction 11 (Magee Barracks Roads north/Melitta Road).


Figure 4.29 Junction Iocation map 2025 Combined Road Strategy


Figure 4.30 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 1


Figure 4.31 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 2


Figure 4.32 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 3


Figure 4.33 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 4


Figure 4.34 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 5


Figure 4.35 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 6


Figure 4.36 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 7


Figure 4.37 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 8


Figure 4.38 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 9


Figure 4.39 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 10


Figure 4.40 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 11


Figure 4.41 Turning Flow Diagram Junction 12

### 4.2.5 Queue Length Plots

Similar to 2025, queue lengths for few critical signalised junctions that were directly affected by the combined road strategy affected were extracted from the VISUM model for both the AM and PM peak hours. The location of these junctions along with their corresponding queue lengths are presented in subsequent figures Figure 4.42 to Figure 4.50 .


Figure 4.42 Junction Location Map for 2035 Combined Road Strategy


Figure 4.43 Queue Lengths at Junction 2


Figure 4.44 Queue Lengths at Junction 6


Figure 4.45 Queue Lengths at Junction 7
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Figure 4.46 Queue lengths at Junction 13


Figure 4.47 Queue lengths at Junction 14


Figure 4.48 Queue lengths at Junction 15


Figure 4.49 Queue lengths at Junction 16


Figure 4.50 Queue lengths at Junction 17
Similar to the 2025 combined road strategy, queue lengths at each of the above-shown junctions were well within acceptable limits and do not cause any spillback.
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## 1. Introduction

AECOM were commissioned by Kildare County Council (KCC) to develop a microsimulation of Kildare Town in VISSIM which is referred to as the Kildare Town Model (KTM). This report summarises the future year model development scenarios and options testing results.

### 1.1 Background

A base model of the KTM was created for a base year of 2018. The model was developed with peak periods in-line with a previously developed strategic (VISUM) model, 08:00-09:00 and 17:00-18:00 for the AM and PM hours, respectively. The future models have been developed from these base models to utilised to test the impact of traffic growth and development schemes in Kildare Town.

### 1.2 Study Area

The extent of the KTM study area is provided in Figure 1-1. The modelled area covers the main junctions in Kildare Town and main roads entering and running through the town. The study area extent includes the following key roads:

- R445 between the railway line to the west and the Curragh to the east;
- R401 Dunmurray Road and R415 Station Road;
- R413 Melitta Road to northeast; and Green Road and Southgreen Road to northwest; and
- R415 between the R445 and the M7, Tully Road, Bride St and Grey Abbey Road to the south.


Figure 1-1: Kildare Town Model Study Area Extents

### 1.3 Report Structure

This report is structured as follows:

- Chapter 2 - Future Scenarios
- Chapter 3 - Future Year Modelling Assumptions and Development;
- Chapter 4 - Future Year Model Results; and
- Chapter 5 - Conclusion.


## 2. Future Scenarios

### 2.1 Overview

This section outlines the scenarios which are tested in KTM future years, which are based on variations of the road's strategy identified in the Kildare Town Transport Strategy. There are two future years which are modelled and assessed: 2025 and 2035.

### 2.2 Future Year Scenarios for Kildare Town

The list below outlines the scenarios which were tested in and around Kildare Town over the forecast years (2025 and 2035). Assessments were made for the AM and PM peak periods in each of the following scenarios:

- Do Minimum Scenario (DM) 2025;
- Do Minimum Scenario (DM) 2035;
- Do Something - 1 Scenario (DS1) 2025;
- Do Something - 1 Scenario (DS1) 2035;
- Do Something - 2 Scenario (DS2) 2025; and
- Do Something - 2 Scenario (DS2) 2035,

Each scenario has a package of measures which were tested across the town. Details of measures in each scenario and the year of implementation are explained in the following section.

### 2.2.1 Do Minimum (DM) Scenarios

Table 2-1 outlines the Do Minimum (DM) network changes which are assumed to have been implemented in 2025 and 2035. A number of VISUM DM network changes from the Kildare Town Transport Strategy are not included in the VISSIM model because they are located outside the microsimulation model study area.

Table 2-1 Do Minimum (DM) Interventions

| Year | Do Minimum Interventions |
| :---: | :--- |
| 2025 | -Phase 1 Magee Barracks - Regeneration of Magee Military Barracks as part of <br> development strategy in Kildare Local Area Plan. Phase 1 has a new road connection <br> from the Magee Barracks development to the R445. <br>  <br> 2035 <br>  <br> - One-way system for Cleamore Road - allowing northbound traffic movements only <br> - Traffic signal at Southgreen Bridge |
| All projects included in 2025, plus: <br> Phase 2 Magee Barracks - Regeneration of Magee Military Barracks as part of <br> development strategy in Kildare Local Area Plan. Phase 2 has a new road connection <br> from the Magee Barracks development to Melitta Road. |  |

The 2025 and 2035 DM network changes are presented in Figure 2-1. The VISSIM network is smaller than the VISUM network because it is focused on the town centre and it does not cover the entire study area. As a result of this, the VISSIM network maps exclude Do-Minimum roads such as the Modus Link Road or the Dunmurray Link Road, which are outside the extent of the VISSIM model. Furthermore, other DM roads are geographically inaccurate in this report, such as the Magee Barracks Roads, which are
shown as two cul-de-sac roads in Figure 2-1, when in reality this road will provide a continuous northsouth route to the east of the town centre. These route differences only apply to the maps shown throughout the VISSIM traffic modelling report, due to the limited extent of the microsimulation model network, and an accurate representation of the Do-Minimum and future Road Strategy road network can be found in the Kildare Town Transport Strategy, Volume 1.


Figure 2-1: Network Changes and Do Minimum (DM) Schemes, 2025 and 2035
In addition to the DM network changes, which are based on committed schemes, a number of road strategy measures are proposed which are documented in the following section.

### 2.2.2 Do Something - 1 (DS1) Scenarios

Table 2-2 outlines the Do Something - 1 (DS1) measures, by year:
Table 2-2: Do Something - 1 (DS1) Interventions

| Year | DS1 Interventions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{gathered} 2025 \text { and } \\ 2035 \end{gathered}$ | Respective 2025 and 2035 interventions carried forward from DM, plus: <br> - Identified road strategies: <br> - 1B - Closing Old Road bridge to traffic and upgrading Southgreen Bridge to accommodate two-way traffic. <br> - 2A - Opening a new Northern Link road between Monasterevin Road and Pigeon Lane. This strategy includes the implementation of a one way traffic route northbound along Pigeon Lane and southbound along White Abbey Road, traffic signals at Southgreen Road / Green Road Junction and R401 Dunmurry Road / Old Road Junction, Pelican Signals on R401 and R445 and separate right turn lanes on R445 into Pigeon Lane. <br> - 6A - Converting Meadow Road into a one-way, westbound street. <br> - 3I-Closing the eastern section of Market Square |

The 2025 and 2035 DS-1 network changes and schemes are presented in Figure 2-2.


Figure 2-2: Network Changes and Do Something - 1 (DS1) Schemes, 2025 and 2035

### 2.2.3 Do Something - 2 (DS2) Schemes

Table 2-3 outlines the Do Something - 2 (DS2) measures, by year:
Table 2-3: Do Something - 2 (DS2) Interventions

| Year | DS2 Interventions |
| :---: | :---: |
| $\begin{aligned} & 2025 \text { and } \\ & 2035 \end{aligned}$ | Respective 2025 and 2035 interventions carried forward from DM, plus: <br> - Identified road strategies: <br> - 1B - Closing Old Road bridge to traffic and upgrading Southgreen Bridge to accommodate two-way traffic. <br> - 2A - Opening a new Northern Link road between Monasterevin Road and Pigeon Lane. This strategy includes the implementation of a one way traffic route northbound along Pigeon Lane and southbound along White Abbey Road, traffic signals at Southgreen Road / Green Road Junction and R401 Dunmurry Road / Old Road Junction, Pelican Signals on R401 and R445 and separate right turn lanes on R445 into Pigeon Lane. <br> - 6A - Converting Meadow Road into a one-way, westbound street. <br> - 3H - Closing the Bride Street section of Market Square. |

The 2025 and 2035 DS2 network changes and measures are presented in Figure 2-3.


Figure 2-3: Network Changes and Do Something - 2 (DS2) Schemes, 2025 and 2035

### 2.3 Summary

Several network interventions were identified for implementation in the years 2025 and 2035 as part of the Kildare Town Transport Strategy and will be tested as part of the DS1 and DS2 scenarios within the KTM. The main difference between the DS1 and DS2 scenarios is the closure of a section of road at Market Square. As part of DS1, the eastern section will be closed to traffic, while in DS2 the Bride Street section will be closed to traffic.

## 3. Future Year Modelling Assumptions and Development

### 3.1 Overview

This section focuses on the modelling of each scenario and the approach taken. For traffic demand in each future year scenario (2025 and 2035), traffic flows were extracted from previously developed strategic (VISUM) models.

### 3.2 Additional VISSIM Zones

Flows were extracted from VISUM models by cordoning the model zones based on the KTM study area extents. Due to the addition of new access roads for the Magee Barracks Development, it was necessary to add additional zones in VISSIM to accommodate the new traffic movements.

Zone 15, Maryville Road, was added to consider the impact of a large number of trips originating and ending in this zone as per the VISUM model in the year 2035.

The following zones have been added into future year models:

- Magee Barracks Phase 1 access on R445-Zone 13
- Magee Barracks Phase 2 access on Melitta Road - Zone 14
- Maryville Road - Zone 15

The revised zone structure for future year models is presented in Figure 3-1.


Figure 3-1: Future Year Model Zone Layout

The zone structure required some minor amendments to reflect the future year developments and network:

- In 2025 models, Zone 13 becomes active and used as the origin and destination for the Magee Barracks development.
- In 2035, Zones 13, 14 \& 15 become active.

Hence, 2025 VISUM cordons are extracted with one additional zone and 2035 VISUM cordons are extracted with three additional zones.

Trips to and from Zone 11 (Tesco) were considered in the study for both future years, 2025 and 2035, as there was a significant increase in trips to and from Zone 11 as per the VISUM models.

### 3.3 Demand Development Methodology

The demand flows for all future year KTM VISSIM models were extracted using a consistent methodology from the VISUM models. The correspondence between the VISUM and VISSIM zones was developed to derive the matrices for the VISSIM Model. The flows extracted from VISUM models were divided into two user classes: light vehicles (LV) and heavy vehicles (HV).

The calculated absolute growth from 2018 to 2025, and 2018 to 2035 for the trip-ends were applied to the trip-ends of the base year VISSIM models. A matrix Furness method was used to arrive at the new trips for each OD pair, and to match the origin totals.

The VISSIM inputs for both the vehicle classes (LVs and HVs) were profiled for each 15-minute period in the peak hour, as well as warm up and cool down periods. The proportion of 15-minute matrices compared to the peak hour matrix was calculated (using the base year matrices). These proportions have been applied to the future scenario peak hour matrices to obtain the 15-minutes matrices for entire simulation periods in AM and PM respectively.

### 3.4 Forecast Demand Key Assumptions

The total traffic increase from 2018 to 2025 and 2035 has been maintained across the VISUM and VISSIM models (as informed by the strategic VISUM models).

For new zones 13, 14 and 15, there are no pre-defined OD pairs in VISSIM base models, nor were any trips originating from these zones. Hence, OD patterns were assumed to be same as the future year VISUM cordoned model, and trips generated from a Furness forecasting process.

For the new zones noted above, the VISUM model does not contain the distribution of trips within the peak hour, and therefore a uniform distribution of trips is assumed for each of the four intervals in the VISSIM peak hour model.

Uniform distribution is not applied to the warm up and cool down periods for these zones, as this would overestimate traffic in the network. The proportions of traffic in warm up and cool down periods have been calculated from all other (known) zone OD pairs, and those factors applied to the zones where no prior information is available. This maintains the proportional traffic increase and decreases across the modelled peaks, as in base year.

### 3.5 Modelled Networks

This section explains the development of forecast models, including any changes made to the base model's network to test proposed future interventions and developments.

The interventions to be incorporated and tested across Kildare Town were outlined in Table 2-1 through Table 2-3 earlier in the report. The following section details how the interventions have been accommodated into the KTM in more specific modelling terms.

### 3.5.1 Do Minimum (DM) - Model setup

Network:

- The Do Minimum network is consistent with the 2018 base network, with the exception of two new links added to the network as indicative access roads for the Magee Barracks development.
- Connectors on Cleamore Road southbound link are closed for dynamic assignment as per the forecast scheme proposal.


## Signals:

- The existing signal controllers and signal heads are re-used in the Do Minimum model. The signal timings have been optimised.
- New signals are added at Southgreen Road/ Pigeon Lane junction and Southgreen Bridge as per the proposal.


## Speeds:

- All speed parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model with the exception of speed decisions and speed controllers introduced on new links.


## Driving Behaviour Parameters:

- All driving behaviour parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model.


## Priority Control:

- Additional priority rules have been added into the Do Minimum model at new access road junctions. At some existing junctions, combination of priority rules and conflict areas have been added to replicate yellow box behaviour, required to control queuing pattern because of increase in traffic in forecast models.

The Do Minimum VISSIM modelled network is shown in Figure 3-2.


Figure 3-2: Do Minimum VISSIM Modelled Network

### 3.5.2 Do Something 1 (DS1) - Model setup

Network:

- The link and connector structures have been amended in the Do Something 1 scenario to replicate the network changes from road strategy measure 2A Northern Link Street scheme. This includes changes such as: a new roundabout at the junction connecting Northern Link Street to the R445, an extra lane for right turning traffic from R445 to Pigeon Lane and from the R445 to the R415.
- Connectors on Cleamore Road southbound link and Meadow Road westbound (road strategy 6A) are closed for dynamic assignment as per the forecast scheme proposal.
- Pigeon Lane is converted to a one-way traffic lane northbound and White Abbey Road has been converted to a one-way traffic lane southbound as per the 2A Northern Link Street scheme.
- Green Road/ Pigeon Lane Junction is modified, changing the Green Road alignment to connect Green Road to the Northern Link Street to the west.
- Eastern section of Market Square is closed for traffic as part of road strategy 31.
- Southgreen Bridge signal is removed, and the bridge is upgraded to cater to two-way traffic as per road strategy 1 B .
- Old Road Bridge is closed for traffic as per road strategy 1B.

Signals:

- The existing signal controllers and signal heads are re-used in the Do Minimum model. The signal timings have been optimised.
- New signals are added at the Southgreen Road/ Green Road junction and the Dunmurray Road/ Old Road junction as per the proposal.
- Traffic signal at the Pigeon Lane/ R445 junction is converted to a pedestrian signal, allowing people to cross the R445.
- Pedestrian crossings are added at the R401 Fairview Cottages/ Lourdesville Road Junction and the R445/ New Link Road West Roundabout.


## Speeds:

- All speed parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model.
- New speed decisions and speed controllers are added on the access roads added as part of forecast schemes.


## Driving Behaviour Parameters:

- All driving behaviour parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model.


## Priority Control:

- Additional priority rules have been added into the Do Minimum model at new access road junctions. At some existing junctions, a combination of priority rules and conflict areas have been added to replicate yellow box behaviour required to control queuing pattern because of increase in traffic in forecast models.


## Edges/Routes

- U-turns at the R445/ Northern Link Street roundabout are not allowed for traffic. The rest of the routes are similar to the 2018 base model.

The Do Something 1 VISSIM modelled network is shown in Figure 3-3.


Figure 3-3: Do Something 1 VISSIM Modelled Network

### 3.5.3 Do Something 2 (DS2) - Model setup

## Network:

- The link and connector structures have been amended in the Do Something 1 scenario to replicate the network changes from road strategy measure 2A Northern Link Street scheme. This includes changes such as: a new roundabout at the junction connecting Northern Link Street to the R445, an extra lane for right turning traffic from R445 to Pigeon Lane and from the R445 to the R415.
- Connectors on Cleamore Road southbound link and Meadow Road westbound (road strategy 6A) are closed for dynamic assignment as per the forecast scheme proposal.
- Pigeon Lane is converted to a one-way traffic lane northbound and White Abbey Road is converted to a one-way traffic lane southbound as per the 2A Northern Link scheme.
- Green Road/ Pigeon Lane Junction is modified, changing the Green Road alignment to connect Green Road to the Northern Link Street to the west.
- Bride Street section of Market Square is closed for traffic as part of road strategy 3H.
- Southgreen Bridge signal is removed, and the bridge is upgraded to cater to two-way traffic as per road strategy 1B.
- Old Road Bridge is closed for traffic as per road strategy 1B.


## Sianals:

- The existing signal controllers and signal heads are re-used in the Do Minimum model. The signal timings have been optimised.
- New signals are added at the Southgreen Road/ Green Road junction and the Dunmurray Road/ Old Road junction as per the proposal.
- Traffic signal at the Pigeon Lane/ R445 junction is converted to a pedestrian signal, allowing people to cross the R445.
- Pedestrian crossings are added at the R401 Fairview Cottages/ Lourdesville Road Junction and the R445/ New Link Road West Roundabout.


## Speeds:

- All speed parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model.
- New speed decisions and speed controllers are added on the access roads added as part of forecast schemes.


## Driving Behaviour Parameters:

- All driving behaviour parameters remain consistent with the 2018 Base model.


## Priority Control:

- Additional priority rules have been added into the Do Minimum model at new access road junctions. At some existing junctions, a combination of priority rules and conflict areas have been added to replicate yellow box behaviour required to control queuing pattern because of increase in traffic in forecast models.


## Edges/ Routes

- U-turns at the R445/ Northern Link Street roundabout are not allowed for traffic. The rest of the routes are similar to the 2018 base model.

The Do Something 2 VISSIM modelled network is shown in Figure 3-4.


Figure 3-4: Do Something 2 VISSIM Modelled Network

## 4. Future Year Model Results

### 4.1 Model Convergence

A model convergence process is required to ensure that traffic within the dynamic VISSIM model reroutes appropriately. This is because there are changes such as updated travel demand, network changes (closed links and new roads), routing changes (one-way roads) and signal changes in the future year networks which will affect traffic flows in the model.

In dynamic assignment, traffic demand and infrastructure are not constant over time. Traffic conditions will vary in the network and travel times will change across the modelling time period. Vehicles will find different routes through the network, dynamically seeking the lowest-cost (travel time) route. To suitably accommodate these dynamic traffic conditions, the total simulation time is divided into smaller evaluation intervals in which travel times are observed separately. An evaluation interval also specifies the point in time after which the path selection of vehicles can change.

Evaluation intervals of less than 15 minutes are rarely advised, as variability of the measured values (such as travel times), increase with shorter intervals. In many cases, evaluation intervals from 15 to 60 minutes are appropriate. Especially when signal controls are used, evaluation intervals must be significantly longer than the signal cycle times.

The future year models developed with the future year demand were tested for convergence, keeping parameters and settings consistent with the Base models. One exception is noted - in the future year models, new access roads and a significant increase in demand has led to additional routes in the model. The introduction of additional signals in a relatively small network has also contributed to fluctuations in the route choice, thereby making models sensitive to change and difficult to converge. To overcome these fluctuations and stabilise the route choice, evaluation intervals in forecast models have been adjusted to 900 seconds, as opposed to 600 seconds in the base models.

As in the base models, the forecast scenario models were initially run using VISSIM's default random seed and assigned travel demand matrices in batch mode. The simulation was started with $85 \%$ of the total O-D demand which was gradually increased by $2.5 \%$ per run until $100 \%$ of the demand was reached. This was done to establish the initial costs (BEW files) and paths (WEG files) within the network. Using the path and cost files from the first simulation run, the batch run of the models continued until the convergence criteria was achieved. Details of the convergence criteria can be found in the prior Kildare Town Transport Strategy 'VISSIM Model Development Report'.

### 4.2 Model Evaluation

The future year scenario models were evaluated for the same 10 random seeds to maintain a consistent comparison with the base model runs. The models were evaluated for:

- Network Performance
- Vehicle Travel Time
- Speed
- Density

The results are outlined and discussed in the following sections.

### 4.3 Network Performance Results

The network performance results compare the 2018 base model and 2025 and 2035 forecast models. These are presented in Table 4-1: and Table 4-2: for AM and PM peaks, respectively.

Network performance results show that there is an increase in demand in the forecast models compared to the base models. Demand increases significantly in the 2035 models, increasing by 60-70\% from the

2018 demand. As a result, there is a decrease in speeds and an increase in travel time observed in both the $A M$ and $P M$ peaks in the forecast models.

In 2035 models, construction of the Magee Barracks Phase 2 (with a link joining R445 to Melitta Road), has led to a reduction in traffic traversing Market Square to access the north of Kildare Town. Thus, the 2035 models recorded less average delay per vehicle than the 2025 models.

A 'peak within the peak' forces some vehicles to wait to enter the network (latent demand), until queues dissipate in the network itself. Delay related to latent demand has low recorded values, with a total latent delay of less than 18 min ( 0.29 h , recorded in 2035 DS2 PM).

Comparing DS1 and DS2 scenarios, DS2 performs better than DS1, as can be seen in the tables below. The closure of the eastern side of Market Square in DS1 increases the difficulty for turning movements to and from Station Road, resulting in longer queues on Station Road. Movements between Station Road and R445 east (Dublin Road) were previously able to take place at two junctions (in the DM). In DS1, the closure of Market Square means more turning movements must take place at the crossroads of R445 and R415 (Bride Street). Right-turn movements are particularly hindered due to the increased levels of conflicting movements and fewer gaps in opposing traffic streams.

With Bride Street closed in DS2, traffic from Station Road seeking to turn right onto the R445 must take a longer route along Market Square (south-eastbound) and give way to traffic. Similarly, traffic from the R445 (east) seeking to turn right onto Station Road must give way to increased levels of opposing R445 (eastbound) traffic, due to the closure of Bride Street in the town centre.

Closing either section of Market Square causes inconvenience for motorised traffic, but this is in line with the priorities of the strategy which aim to reduce traffic in the town centre to allow for the reallocation of space to public realm improvements and active mode schemes. The Northern Link scheme will provide some relief in the wider town by providing a bypass of the town centre for traffic approaching from the west.

DS2 allows traffic from Station Road to make a right turn manoeuvre and to effectively queue on the R445. Providing extra green time (at the adjusted, proposed 3-arm junction of the R445 and Bride Street) helps dissipate some traffic originating from Station Road. With Bride Street partially closed in Market Square, there is no opposing traffic for Bride Street northbound flows, so this phase can dissipate traffic quickly during the peak hour. Further optimisation of the signals gave priority to the R445 westbound phase, resulting in reduced queues at Market Square in DS2. Hence, DS2 performs better than DS1 at the Market Square junction.

Summarising the network performance results, DS1 may force high levels of traffic through the existing crossroads, whereas splitting the traffic movements into two junctions on the R445 in DS2 provides some relief and improved traffic control through signal optimisation.

Table 4-1: AM Peak Network Performance Results Summary

| Modelled Year | Scenario | $\begin{aligned} & \text { Average } \\ & \text { Speed } \\ & \text { (kmph)-AM } \end{aligned}$ | Total travel time (h)AM | Total Demand AM | Latent Demand AM | Average delay (s)AM | Total Delay (h) AM | Latent Delay (h) AM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | Base | 26 | 191 | 2654 | 0 | 97 | 72 | 0.13 |
| 2025 | Do Minimum | 26 | 204 | 3001 | 0 | 93 | 78 | 0.12 |
|  | Do Something 1 | 24 | 217 | 2948 | 1 | 113 | 93 | 0.15 |
|  | Do Something 2 | 26 | 203 | 2949 | 0 | 92 | 75 | 0.13 |
| 2035 | Do Minimum | 25 | 258 | 4447 | 0 | 70 | 87 | 0.27 |
|  | Do Something 1 | 23 | 287 | 4525 | 0 | 89 | 111 | 0.28 |
|  | Do Something 2 | 23 | 283 | 4527 | 0 | 84 | 105 | 0.28 |

Table 4-2: PM Peak Network Performance Results Summary

| Modelled Year | Scenario | Average Speed (kmph)-PM | Total travel time (h)PM | Total Demand PM | Latent Demand PM | Average delay (s)PM | Total Delay <br> (h) PM | Latent <br> Delay (h) PM |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 2018 | Base | 27 | 163 | 2422 | 0 | 85 | 57 | 0.11 |
| 2025 | Do Minimum | 26 | 186 | 2782 | 0 | 96 | 74 | 0.10 |
|  | Do Something 1 | 26 | 187 | 2798 | 0 | 93 | 73 | 0.10 |
|  | Do Something 2 | 27 | 182 | 2796 | 0 | 86 | 67 | 0.10 |
| 2035 | Do Minimum | 26 | 205 | 3780 | 0 | 64 | 67 | 0.31 |
|  | Do Something 1 | 26 | 214 | 3914 | 0 | 66 | 71 | 0.28 |
|  | Do Something 2 | 26 | 214 | 3909 | 0 | 64 | 69 | 0.29 |

### 4.4 Journey Time Evaluation

Journey time sections were identified in the model based on the schemes being put forward. Journey time sections were then grouped to form routes covering through-routes in Kildare Town. Twelve such routes were identified covering the likes of the R445, Southgreen Road, Dunmurray Road, Rathbride Road, Melitta Road, Station Road and Bride Street.

Figure 4-1 shows the 12 identified journey time evaluation routes in Kildare Town and Table 4-3 shows the route description.


Figure 4-1: Kildare Town JT Routes Identified to Compare Travel Time in Forecast Years

Table 4-3: Journey Time Route Descriptions

| Route <br> No. | Route no. \& Name |
| :---: | :--- |
| 1 | R445 Eastbound - from R445 Monasterevin Road west of R415 to R445 Curragh Road east of Magee Barracks <br> Phase 1 access |
| 2 | R445 Westbound - from R445 Curragh Road east of Magee Barracks Phase 1 access to R445 Monasterevin Road <br> west of R415 |
| 3 | Southgreen Rd north to R445 Curragh Road east of Magee Barracks Phase 1 access via Pigeon Lane/ White Abbey <br> Road/ Northern Link in DS options |
| 4 | R445 Curragh Road East of Magee Barracks Phase 1 access to Southgreen Rd north via Pigeon Lane/ White Abbey <br> Road/ Northern Link in DS options |
| 5 | Southgreen Rd north to R445 Monasterevin Road west of R415 Access via Pigeon Lane/ White Abbey Road |
| 6 | R445 Monasterevin Road west of R415 to Southgreen Road access via Pigeon Lane/ White Abbey Road |
| 7 | Southgreen Rd north to Melitta Road east of Phase 2 Magee Barracks access |
| 8 | Melitta Road east of Phase 2 Magee Barracks access to Southgreen Road north |
| 9 | Dunmurray Road north to R445 Curragh Road east of Magee Barracks Phase 1 access |
| 10 | R445 Curragh Road east of Magee Barracks Phase 1 access to Dunmurray Road north |
| 11 | Rathbride Road north to Tully Road south |
| 12 | Tully Road south to Rathbride Road north |

Travel times were recorded for each route and scenario and are shown for AM and PM peaks, respectively in Table 4-4 and Table 4-5. Graphical representations of journey times for each route are shown in Figure 4-2 to Figure 4-5, for the AM and PM peaks. A summary of the journey time results is provided below:

- Route 1 - R445 eastbound traffic records similar travel times across all options in AM and PM peak ranging between 3.5 to 4.5 minutes ( 215 s to 254 s ).
- Route 2 - R445 westbound traffic shows higher journey time in the DS1 2025 and 2035 scenarios in the AM peak compared to the DM and DS2. This reinforces the notion of more congested movements in the vicinity of the tested Bride Street closure between the R445 and Station Road (from the Network Performance Results). In the PM peak, the R445 westbound DM has slightly higher journey times than DS options in both 2025 and 2035.
- Routes 3 and 4 - These routes indicate the positive improvement of the Northern Link Scheme in relation to travel times. For vehicles travelling from R445 west to the north of Kildare and vice versa, journey times have reduced by more than a minute (journey times have reduced in the DS options by approximately 60-120 seconds across both peaks).
- Routes 5 and 6 - The addition of two new sets of signals along this travel time route has led to an overall increase in travel times. DS options recorded higher journey times for vehicles by around 50 seconds in 2025 and 20 seconds in PM peak.

With DS2 in place (and its influence on growth and changing traffic patterns) there is a net improvement of 5 seconds across both peaks and directions, noting a specific improvement in DS2 southbound movements, of 50 seconds and 30 seconds in 2025 and 2035, respectively.

- Routes 7 and 8 - Vehicles travelling westbound from Melitta Road to Southgreen Road (Route 8) may experience an increase in journey times. In the 2025 AM peak, an increase in travel time of 90 seconds is seen in DS1 and 30 seconds in DS2. In 2035, increases of approximately 5060 seconds are observed in both DS options. In the PM peak, travel times increase by approximately 40 seconds in both years for DS options. The increases in travel times for Route

7 and Route 8 is not only attributed to new signals at Fairview Cottages (R401), but also to the increased traffic demand at the Melitta Road/ Station Road junction.

- Routes 9 to 12 - The impact of closing part of Market Square, including the eastern section in DS1 and Bride Street in DS2, can be seen in Route 9, Route 10, Route 11 and Route 12 travel times. Both DS options in 2025 and 2035 record higher journey times in both AM and PM peaks than DM. Right-turning traffic from R445 to Station Road and left-turning traffic from Station Road to the R445 use Market Square in the DM, whereas other movements continue on Bride Street to use the crossroads. The DS scenarios have fewer route options for traffic originating from or travelling towards Station Road (than the DM option), and therefore lower levels of journey time performance are observed.

The DS1 scenario restricts traffic movement from the R445 east and Station Road. Rightturning vehicles from each of the four arms are required to gap-seek among opposing traffic streams, leading to increased queues on all arms, and subsequent increased delays on approach to the junction. DS2 prevents traffic from using a section of Bride Street, meaning traffic from Station Road seeking to travel along the R445 westbound and Bride Street southbound are rerouted along Market Square and must give way to R445 traffic. This leads to increased queues on Market Square southbound and Station Road southbound. With modified signal staging and restricting some eastbound R445 movements from the junction, queuing on Market Square can be somewhat controlled.

Similar increases in travel times are observed for vehicles travelling from Station Road to Tully Road and Tully Road to Station Road (Routes 11 and 12) in DS options. DS2's PM peak sees significant increases in travel times by 102 seconds to 132 seconds for vehicles travelling south to Tully Road from Station Road in both forecast years. DS2 shows a similar increase in the AM peak for the forecast year 2035. These increases can be attributed to the closure of the Bride Street section of Market Square. The transport model shows increases in travel times by 20-40 seconds in both DS options when compared with DM in both peaks for both the forecast years.

Vehicles travelling northward from Tully Road to Station Road are less impacted by the DS scheme than vehicles travelling southbound from Station Road to Tully Road - this is because northbound movements are generally signal controlled or left-turns, whereas southbound movements must gap-seek in busy conditions. DS1 travel times increase by approximately 20 seconds when compared to DM in both peaks for both forecast years. DS2 shows an increase in travel times of approximately 30-40 seconds in 2025 and by 50-70 seconds in 2035.

Table 4-4: AM Journey Time Summary (seconds)

| Route no. \& Name | Base | 2025 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DM | DS1 | DS2 | DM | DS1 | DS2 |
| Route 1 - R445 EB | 242 | 263 | 254 | 230 | 228 | 259 | 247 |
| Route 2-R445 WB | 234 | 241 | 266 | 224 | 255 | 309 | 234 |
| Route 3 - Southgreen Rd to R445 W | 186 | 237 | 159 | 149 | 269 | 203 | 168 |
| Route 4-R445 W to Southgreen Rd | 182 | 237 | 152 | 151 | 232 | 141 | 142 |
| Route 5 - Southgreen Rd to R445 E | 176 | 241 | 252 | 215 | 233 | 324 | 261 |
| Route 6-R445 E to Southgreen Road | 173 | 218 | 273 | 229 | 239 | 295 | 218 |
| Route 7 - Southgreen Rd to Melitta Rd | 287 | 253 | 294 | 293 | 250 | 347 | 307 |
| Route 8 - Melitta Rd to Southgreen Rd | 238 | 275 | 365 | 307 | 285 | 338 | 342 |
| Route 9 - Dunmurray Rd to R445 E | 325 | 295 | 386 | 327 | 283 | 357 | 353 |
| Route 10-R445 E to Dunmurray Rd | 307 | 285 | 352 | 286 | 302 | 414 | 323 |
| Route 11 - Rathbride Rd to Tully Rd | 358 | 336 | 358 | 366 | 341 | 363 | 441 |
| Route 12 - Tully Rd to Rathbride Rd | 308 | 300 | 303 | 330 | 320 | 349 | 391 |



Figure 4-2: Route 1 to Route 6 Journey Time Comparison AM peak (seconds)


Figure 4-3: Route 7 to Route 12 Journey Time Comparison AM peak (seconds)

Table 4-5: PM Journey Time Summary (seconds)

| Route no. \& Name | Base | 2025 |  |  | 2035 |  |  |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  | DM | DS1 | DS2 | DM | DS1 | DS2 |
| Route 1 - R445 EB | 215 | 278 | 270 | 223 | 249 | 253 | 222 |
| Route 2 - R445 WB | 240 | 269 | 255 | 238 | 258 | 250 | 243 |
| Route 3 - Southgreen Rd to R445 W | 200 | 265 | 148 | 148 | 229 | 147 | 147 |
| Route 4-R445 W to Southgreen Rd | 170 | 200 | 143 | 142 | 206 | 144 | 144 |
| Route 5 - Southgreen Rd to R445 E | 164 | 250 | 252 | 199 | 219 | 231 | 193 |
| Route 6-R445 E to Southgreen Road | 167 | 194 | 242 | 225 | 209 | 235 | 225 |
| Route 7 - Southgreen Rd to Melitta Rd | 238 | 243 | 270 | 271 | 245 | 273 | 272 |
| Route 8 - Melitta Rd to Southgreen Rd | 246 | 243 | 281 | 284 | 240 | 275 | 278 |
| Route 9 - Dunmurray Rd to R445 E | 279 | 279 | 332 | 332 | 273 | 317 | 302 |
| Route 10-R445 E to Dunmurray Rd | 299 | 276 | 331 | 285 | 274 | 325 | 295 |
| Route 11 - Rathbride Rd to Tully Rd | 359 | 339 | 382 | 476 | 341 | 362 | 445 |
| Route 12 - Tully Rd to Rathbride Rd | 319 | 296 | 311 | 339 | 296 | 318 | 345 |



Figure 4-4: Route 1 to Route 6 Journey Time Comparison PM peak (seconds)


Figure 4-5: Route 7 to Route 12 Journey Time Comparison PM peak (seconds)
To summarise the outcomes of the travel time analysis, the Magee Barracks access roads connecting the R445 and Melitta Road support the re-routing of some traffic from Market Square (as determined from the VISUM model), thereby reducing congestion in the town centre. Closing a section of the town centre in the vicinity of Market Square in either of the Do Something options results in increased queues at the Market Square junctions. Hence, DM performs better than DS options at the Market Square junctions. Yet, because the focus of the strategy is on facilitating public realm improvements in this area which allow for walking and cycling improvements, this is an expected outcome.

The Northern Link Street Scheme to the west of the town supports a reduction of traffic on the R445 near the R415 and Tesco entrance by taking northbound / north-eastbound traffic off the R445 west of these junctions. Thus, the new access road reduces numerous travel times for vehicles travelling from the R445 west of Kildare to northern and eastern destinations.

### 4.5 Speed and Density Results

Speed and density plots of the town centre are shown in Figure 4-6 to Figure 4-13. As shown in the figures, DM plots show higher speed and lower densities in the town centre around Market Square junction compared to DS1 and DS2. In DS1, queues formed on the R445's eastbound approach to the Market Square junction, often blocking-back to White Abbey Road. Speeds on Station Road southbound are often observed to be lower in DS1 compared to DM and DS2, causing high vehicle densities on this approach to Market Square. As discussed in previous sections, DS1's closure of the eastern section of Market Square requires all approaching traffic to pass through the crossroads of the R445 and Bride Street, causing increasing delays particularly where right-turning vehicles gap-seek in opposing traffic streams.

The addition of signals at the junction of R401 Dunmurry Road / Old Road in all DS options led to reduced speeds on approaching links. Density plots show a similar trend of increased densities on links approaching the new signalised junction.

The Northern Link Scheme helps reduce traffic along some sections of the R445 in the DS options, thereby maintaining reasonable traffic conditions and junction performances in future years. This is despite the significant growth in traffic demand in Kildare Town over time. Both the R445 and Melitta roads to the east and west of the Magee Barracks accesses show healthy speed and density conditions despite the significant growth.


Figure 4-6: 2025 AM Peak Speed Plots


Figure 4-7: 2035 AM Peak Speed Plots


Figure 4-8: 2025 PM Peak Speed Plots


Figure 4-9: 2035 PM Peak Speed Plots


Figure 4-10: 2025 AM Peak Density Plots



Figure 4-12: 2025 PM Peak Density Plots


Figure 4-13: 2035 PM Peak Density Plots

## 5. Summary and Conclusions

Base year (2018) and future years 2025 and 2035 VISSIM Microsimulation models have been developed to help inform and understand the impacts of the proposed Kildare Town Transport Strategy.

The base year models were used as the basis for the future year models, however a number of updates to the base model zoning structure were required to represent a proposed development at Magee Barracks. Forecast demand matrices were developed from cordons of the Kildare VISUM Models and furnessing with the base VISSIM model data

Models were successfully converged and run for all three future scenarios: Do Minimum (DM), Do Something 1 (DS1) and Do Something 2 (DS2), for AM and PM peaks in forecast years 2025 and 2035. A total of 12 forecast scenario models were created. Future scenarios were assessed from reviews of their network performance results, journey time results and speed \& density plots.

The network performance data indicated a trend of decreasing network speeds in 2035 models compared to 2025 (by an average of around $1 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$ across the network) as a result of increased demand. Some interventions, such as signalised junctions or crossings, have also had the effect of decreasing average speeds in DS1, particularly in the AM of 2025 and 2035, by around $2 \mathrm{~km} / \mathrm{h}$. PM average speeds remain more consistent with Base and DM for each respective scenario.

Average delay per vehicle is seen reducing in forecast year 2035 when compared to 2025 models. Among scenarios, the DS2 scenario has less average delay per vehicle and total delay when compared with the DS1 and DM models. This improvement is, in part, due to better traffic flow in DS2 where vehicle demand is spread across two junctions, whereas in DS1 all traffic has to travel through the R445/Bride Street junction and there is greater delay.

Journey time evaluation, speed plots and density plots results are summarised as below:

- The R445 / Bride Street / Market Square junctions perform well in the DM scenario compared with DS1 and DS2, where links are subsequently closed to traffic. This leads to increased queues in DS scenarios at Market Square junction. Particular delays occur in DS1 models where the number of nearby routes is diminished and increasing levels of right-turn vehicles must gap-seek in heightened opposing traffic flows.
- The addition of a new link road to the west of Kildare Town connecting the R445 to Green Road/ Pigeon Lane junction (as part of the Northern Link Scheme) reduces congestion at the R445/R415 and R445/Tesco junctions. Vehicles travelling between the R445 and Kildare Town's northern and north-eastern areas experience a reduction in journey times of around 1-2 minutes.
- Signals proposed on R401 Dunmurry Road / Old Road junction as part of the Northern Link Scheme has led to an increase in travel times and delay on these sections of the network. The reduction in vehicular traffic performance is expected to be offset by the improved active mode connectivity (though this was not tested as part of this modelling exercise).
- A Magee Barracks access road is proposed in 2035 and will support the rerouting of traffic away from busy junctions within the town centre (i.e. Bride Street / Market Square). This leads to generally improved network performance and reduced delays.

To summarise, increased levels of traffic in 2025 and 2035 will likely lead to increases in congestion in Kildare Town. Some schemes implemented as part of the DM network will maintain generally low levels of congestion. The DS interventions have varying effects on the increased future traffic demand. The testing of closures in Market Square and new signalised junctions and crossings will increase levels of vehicular congestion, though connectivity and accessibility benefits would be expected to occur for active modes across the town.

This outcome is in line with the aims of the Kildare Town Transport Strategy which prioritise improving transport infrastructure for public transport, walking, cycling and the public realm over vehicular traffic.

In Kildare town, there is a finite amount of road space, and the reallocation of that space to non-car modes is necessary to improve accessibility and safety. Prioritising transport modes in this fashion is in line with Government policy and the requirement to reduce car dependency and transport emissions. Overall, the strategy seeks to avoid undue impact on town centre traffic to support the local economy, while balancing this need with the requirement to improve conditions for non-car modes. The results show that while the do-something strategy results in a minor increase in delay for vehicles in the town centre, this negative impact is quite modest when compared to the major improvement in infrastructure for walking and cycling which will affect more people positively overall.

## Appendix F A3 Versions of Maps

## Appendix F: Cycling Strategy Phasing Maps <br> (Short, Medium and Long Term)
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## Appendix F: Permeability Strategy Phasing Maps (Short, Medium, Long Term)





## Appendix F: Permeability Strategy A3

Catchment Maps









[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ Validation was undertaken between Google collected journey time data, ANPR collected data and the existing Visum model of Kildare - despite wider traffic impacts from Covid, the journey times in 2020 remained applicable for the model development.

[^1]:    ${ }^{2}$ Noting that the 14 ANPR sites provide data for 12 zones.

[^2]:    ${ }^{3}$ It was agreed to include a single link in the base model for future use between Green Road and Monasterevin Road (highlighted in green in Figure 3.1), though this is closed to traffic in the base.

[^3]:    ${ }^{4}$ PE-PAG-02015, 2016, PAG Unit 5.1, Chapter 4, Section 4.6

[^4]:    ${ }^{1}$ AIRO Website: https://airo.maynoothuniversity.ie/about-airo

[^5]:    - 

[^6]:    - $\sin 3$

